1	IN THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	
3	
4	IN RE: INVESTIGATION:
5	Case No. 2003-00433 AN ADJUSTMENT OF THE GAS AND ELECTRIC RATES,
6	TERMS, AND CONDITIONS OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
7	
8	and
9	
10	Case No. 2003-00434 AN ADJUSTMENT OF THE ELECTRIC RATES, TERMS, AND
11	CONDITIONS OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
12	
13	* * *
14	
15	SWORN STATEMENT
16	OF
17	JOHN McCALL
18	JULY 28, 2005
19	\sim
20	
21	ELLEN L. COULTER, RPR Coulter Reporting, LLC
22	101 East Kentucky Street Suite 200
23	Louisville, Kentucky 40203 (502) 582-1627
24	FAX: (502) 587-6299 E-MAIL: CoulterLLC@bellsouth.net
25	

APPEARANCES
SPECIAL GENERAL COUNSEL TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION:
JONATHAN D. GOLDBERG Goldberg & Simpson
3000 National City Tower 101 South Fifth Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
FOR THE WITNESS:
SHERYL G. SNYDER
DAVID KAPLAN Frost, Brown, Todd, LLC
3200 Aegon Center 400 West Market Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

The sworn statement of JOHN McCALL, taken in the offices of Goldberg & Simpson,

3000 National City Tower, 101 South Fifth Street,
Louisville, Kentucky, on Thursday, the 28th day of
July, 2005, at approximately 10:45 a.m.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. Would you state your name, sir.
- A. John McCall, M-C-C-A-L-L.
- We're acquainted with each other, I'm Jon Goldberg, and I've been retained by the Public Service

 Commission to do an investigation of certain events surrounding the rate cases of LG&E and KU. The rate case we sometimes refer to -- cases as 00433 and 00434. And my charge, if you will, Mr. McCall, is to determine -- help the commissioners determine whether or not there was any ex parte communication, collusion and/or inappropriate behavior as part of the two rate cases that were presented to the Public Service Commission in May of 2004. What we are doing is taking statements of people who participated in

the process to get a determination of what they knew, 1 2 if anything. 3 Your name appeared on the sign-in sheets, so that's where we obtained knowledge about 5 you and your participation. So with that, what we'd 6 like to do is take your statement. Ms. Coulter will take it down. It may feel like a deposition, but 7 it's not. It's a statement. And any help you can 8 give us, we would appreciate. At the conclusion, 10 she'll write it up, send it out to you and hopefully you'll sign it and send it back to us. 11 12 I'll do my best. Α. 13 0. That's the process. Can you tell me 14 your business address? 15 Α. 220 West Main Street, Louisville, 16 Kentucky, 40202. 17 0. That is the corporate office of LG&E, LLC? 18 19 LG&E Energy, LLC, that is correct. Α. 20 Thank you. And I trust you're Q. 21 employed by LG&E Energy, LLC? 22 That is correct. Α. 23 0. Okay. And what is your capacity? 24 Α. Executive vice president, general 25 counsel and corporate secretary.

- Q. All right. Are you the head lawyer, as it were, for LG&E Energy?
 - A. Iam.

- Q. All right, sir. And how long have you been in that capacity?
 - A. For 11 years.
 - Q. All right. I trust you are familiar with the two rate cases earlier described to you?
 - A. I am.
 - Q. Okay. Can you tell me what your participation was in those rate cases?
 - reports to me. A matter of rates of the regulated utilities is a matter of executive interest, concern and responsibility. So in a variety of ways, the overall subject of rate regulation is a -- an executive responsibility as well as a specific responsibility of mine. And any planning, preparation associated with the presentation of a rate case would fall under my area of responsibility and be a matter which I would have great interest and concern.
 - Q. All right, sir. Beside having interest and concern, did you actually participate at the various hearings and negotiations that occurred

1 | in these two rate cases?

- A. I did not actively participate in the hearings as either a witness or as trial counsel. I was present at the hearings, and I did participate in the negotiating sessions.
- Q. To your knowledge, were you at all the negotiation sessions?
 - A. I was at all of the sessions, but there were breakout components of the sessions that I was not present for.
 - Q. Okay. Did you put together a team, both in-house and outside, to deal with the two rate cases, a team of professionals?
 - A. When you say "to deal with two rate cases," there is organized structure within the company that deals with aspects of rate cases. I did put together, did oversee the team that was responsible for the hearing preparation and presentation. And I did participate in forming the team that was involved in the negotiating of those rate cases.
 - Q. Were they one and the same?
- A. They were very similar. There were witnesses involved in the rate case hearings that were not involved in the negotiations.

- Q. Okay. With regard to the Public

 Service Commission as a whole, is dealing with the

 Public Service Commission and its employees one of

 your responsibilities?
 - A. It comes under my responsibility. The actual regular communication with the commission is something others who work under me typically perform. I do get involved on rare occasions.
 - Q. All right. By way of example, does Mr. Siemens work -- report to you?
 - A. He does.

- Q. Okay. What is your understanding of what Mr. Siemens' role is with regard to the Public Service Commission?
- A. Mr. Siemens' title is vice president, external affairs, meaning that he is responsible for our external relationships, which includes political relationships. It includes regulatory relationships. It includes community relationships. He has long been a component of our Frankfort presence, which includes the Public Service Commission.
- Q. All right, sir. Are you yourself personally familiar with members of the Public Service Commission?
- A. To a degree, yes.

Okay. Let me ask you whether you know 1 Q. some of those members. Do you know Marty Huelsman? 2 3 I do. Α. Okay. And at what context, work Q. and/or social, do you know Marty Huelsman? 5 6 The really only context in which I 7 know Mr. Huelsman is in relation to his functions with the Public Service Commission. I did not know 8 him until after he became a commissioner. 9 And I knew him both as a commissioner and as chairman of the 10 11 commission. I have never had any social contact with him outside of his role as a member of the 12 13 commission. 14 Okay. Do you recall when LG&E Energy 15 first decided to apply for a -- or to file rate cases 00433 and 00434? 16 17 Α. I have a general recollection of that. 18 I'm not sure how precise I can be. All right. Fair enough. When do you 19 0. 20 believe that was, best recollection? 21 The fall of 2003. Α. 22 Q. Okay. But I can't give you a specific date. 23 Α. 24 All right, sir. What places that date 0. 25 for you in your memory, recollection?

- A. I know that we filed in late December

 of 2003, filed the rate cases in late December. And

 there was a period of weeks involved in preparing

 those filings, that preparation of those filings

 followed the decision to file a rate case.
 - Q. All right, sir. Are you familiar with the term "notice of filing"?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of when the notice of filing was actually filed?
- 11 A. I hope I don't show more ignorance 12 than I should, but I believe it was November --
 - Q. All right, sir.
- 14 A. -- of 2003.

7

8

9

10

13

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. It's not a quiz, but that is the

 correct answer. Do you have a recollection that from

 business viewpoint sometime obviously prior to

 November of 2003, LG&E Energy, LLC, decided to move

 forward with the two rate cases?
 - A. Yes. We made the decision. It was the decision to move forward that kicked off the preparation of the notice of filing and the actual filing itself, and that was in the fall of 2003. But again, a specific date I can't tell you. Late summer, fall 2003, that time frame.

- Q. And using that time through the end of the hearing process -- let's call that all in June of 2004 -- did you ever have occasion to talk to Marty Huelsman about the two rate cases?

 A. Not out of the context of the hearing itself or -- not out of the context of the hearing itself.
 - Q. All right. And when you say "context of the hearing itself," are you referring to in his capacity as chairman hearing testimony?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. All right. So that we're clear, you never had any other direct conversations with him about the rate cases. Am I interpreting that correctly?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you the same question, same time frame, as it relates to any of the other commissioners.
- A. The same answer applies to both Commissioner Williams and Commissioner -- Chairman Goss.
- Q. Okay. As you know, there was some coming and going during this time period with regard to the commissioners themselves. Let me ask you that

1 same question with regard to former Commissioner Gary
2 Gillis.

A. Same answer as -- applies.

- Q. All right, sir. And let me also ask you that same question with regard to Commissioner Bob Spurlin.
 - A. I don't recall precisely the date of his departure from the commission, but it was at or about the time that I -- my memory is it was the latter part of 2003, but it may have been early 2004, but the same answer applies. No conversations with Commissioner Spurlin.
 - Q. Do I take it, then, that it would be your statement that you've never had a conversation, other than on the record, with any of the commissioners about the two rate cases?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. All right, sir. Let me ask you the same question with regard to employees of the Public Service Commission. Other than as a colloquy on the record, have you ever had any conversations about the two rate cases with members of the Public Service Commission staff?
 - A. I have had no substantive -- I've had no discussions with any member of the staff during

that time period regarding any substantive matters pending before the commission regarding the rate cases. It is possible that there were light comments about when are we going to start the hearing, or when are we going to take a break, or other kinds of conversation that may have occurred with staff in either the hearing room or the hallway, whatever, and I did, with other interveners, have conversation with Mr. Raff in his capacity as a mediator during the settlement discussions. But all of those were in the context of that settlement. They related only to the matters under settlement discussion, and they were all in the presence of one or more of the other interveners.

Q. All right, sir. I want to ask you about some names. And I'm identifying these people who are people that work for the Public Service Commission and ask you the same question. Do you know Mr. Isaac Scott?

A. I do.

2.5

Q. Okay. And other than in the context of negotiation with other parties, did you ever have occasion to talk about the rate cases with him?

A. I did not.

Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Mr. Jeff

1 Shaw? 2 Α. I am. 3 Q. Okay. And same question. Other than in the context of negotiation of the rate cases along with other parties, did you ever have any occasion to 5 talk to him about the rate cases? 6 7 Α. I did not. 8 Ο. Okay. Are you familiar with Mr. Faud Sharifi? 9 10 I know who he is. I don't believe he 11 knows me. And we, to my knowledge, have never had 12 any discussion on any subject at any time. 13 Q. All right, sir. Ms. Andrea Edwards, 14 do you know her? 15 Α. I do not, I'm sorry to say. 16 Ο. Ms. Martha Morton, do you know her? 17 I do know Martha Morton. I have had Α. 18 matters such as safety issues for discussion with her 19 in the very distant past. I've had no discussion 20 with her regarding these rate cases. 21 Q. All right, sir. Now, let me take you to the actual meetings themselves. And the first 22 23 date when the parties were together formally was 24 April the 28th, 2004, which would have been a 25 Wednesday. It would have been the setting of the

informal conference. Do you have a recollection of having come to the Public Service Commission and participated on that day?

A. I do.

- Q. Okay. Tell me what you recall generally about the date and your participation.
- A. In the context that I have not talked with others or tried to review for this interview specifics of matters that occurred, I will have to tell you that my memory is a little fuzzy about the specifics of that day versus the following day versus other --
 - Q. All right, sir.
- A. -- discussions that were held in the context.

But generally speaking, an informal conference was set. We understood that there was an interest in settling at least some portion of the rate case, both on the part of the -- some of the interveners and the staff. So we went with an expectation of at least exploring some issues for settlement. Once there, we learned that there was an interest on the part of both the staff and other interveners in at least exploring a broader settlement of the entire rate case.

Q. Okay. Who was --

- A. And we did engage in discussion in the course of that day regarding what the issues were and what the prospects were for settlement.
 - Q. Okay. What was your understanding of who were the interveners?
 - A. I won't be able to remember them all, but they were -- include the KIUC, the attorney general, the Department of Defense, several low income representatives, Kroger's, North American Stainless, Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government. I believe those were the principal interveners. There may have been a couple of others that had relatively modest levels of participation, either at hearings or in settlement discussions.
 - Q. All right. Do you have a recognition of who the team that was involved in the negotiation process with the Public Service Commission was comprised of?
 - A. No. I -- I can tell you some names, but I don't -- there was certainly never a team identified as such. Clearly, Richard Raff was the leading staff spokesperson with regard to negotiations. Isaac Scott participated in a portion. Jeff Shaw participated. Other people were in and out

of the room. Whether they were part of a team or not, I don't know.

Q. All right.

3

5

6

7

22

23

24

- A. But those are the ones that were principally involved.
- Q. What was your understanding of what role PSC staff was playing in these discussions?
- Α. Well, the term "mediator" was 8 specifically used with regard to Richard Raff. 9 10 Whether he formally used that title or not, I don't 11 specifically recall, but he did to some degree play a role of mediator in exploring whether or not 12 settlement of issues could be achieved. 1.3 He also, 14 however, was clearly representing the views of 15 commission staff and always uncertain as to how much he was representing the views of the commission, but 16 certainly the commission staff, in whether or not the 17 18 staff found positions regarding settlement acceptable or not. So he, to some degree, was both a mediator 19 and to some extent a party to the settlement, or a 2.0 21 representative of a party to the settlement.
 - Q. Okay. And did you have an understanding of who was representing the attorney general?
 - A. Dennis Howard and Betsy Blackford were

representing the attorney general --1 2 Q. Okay. -- in all aspects of discussion, save 3 one, when the attorney general himself spoke for 4 5 himself. 6 Q. All right. And was it your 7 understanding they participated in all discussions and negotiations, "they" being the attorney general's 8 9 staff? 10 They did. Α. 11 I trust they were present every day Ο, 12 you were present? 13 Α. They were. Do you remember anything significant 14 Q. about April the 28th? That would have been a 15 Wednesday. And to put it in a frame of reference for 16 you, Thursday, the 29th, the 30th, Oaks Day, and 17 obviously the 1st being Derby Day. 18 19 I remember the 28th. Α. I clearly remember some of the events of the 29th. I clearly 2.0 21 remember the 30th because it was in a different venue 22 than the other two meetings had been in. I do know 23 that on the 28th we made more progress than, frankly, 24 I had expected with regard to resolving some issues, 25 but I would be a little hard-pressed to tell you

specifically what issues were resolved on which day. 1 2 I do know that we were far apart on the rate issue itself for the electric side at the end of the day on 3 the 28th. I could only give you general numbers as 5 to where positions were because I don't remember them specifically, but that's probably without some kind 6 7 of refreshing that I -- as far as I could go about 8 the 28th. 9 You were represented by Mr. Riggs as

- Q. You were represented by Mr. Riggs as legal counsel?
 - A. Mr. Riggs and Mr. Walter Sales --
- 12 Q. Right.

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. -- were there, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I trust they were doing some of the negotiation?
 - A. They were involved in the negotiations. Mr. Sales was more involved with the North American Stainless issues. And a lot of that discussion occurred outside my presence, and so I can't tell you much about that. Most of what Mr. Riggs was involved in I was present for, so I know far more about his involvement.
 - Q. So that I get an understanding of who LG&E Energy, LLC's negotiating team was, I take it it was yourself, Mr. Riggs. Mr. Sales is dealing with

1 North American Stainless issues. Anyone else?

A. Yes. Steve Seelye was our rate of -rate-making specialist, consultant, who was with us.
Kent Blake was there as a member of the regulatory
group. Mike Beer, who was head of rates and
regulation at that time -- it's vice president of
rates and regulation -- was present. Dorothy
O'Brien, who was the deputy general counsel, was
present. And Martin Gallis, who was primarily
involved in the North American Stainless issues, was
present.

- Q. Was this group of persons you just described for us present on each and every day, as far as you know?
- A. No. They were present on the 28th and 29th. It was a smaller group that was present on the 30th.
 - Q. All right, sir.
- A. And my memory, though it is not perfect on this, is that Kendrick Riggs and I were present on the 30th and maybe Mr. Seelye. I don't presently recall that anyone else was present on the 30th, though I could be -- my memory could be flawed on that one.
- Q. It's my understanding that the meeting

on the 30th was at the attorney general's office. Is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Other than that meeting, was the larger team that you've described for us present at all the other sessions?
 - A. My memory is that they were.
 - Q. Okay.

1.3

- A. Again, there may have been -- the meetings on the 28th and 29th were quite lengthy.

 One or two people may have left before the conclusion of a particular meeting or showed up later for a particular meeting, depending on the issues being addressed. But generally speaking, all members of the team were present those two days.
- Q. Okay. Focusing on a time line, 28th, 29th, 30th, prior to going to your meeting on the 30th at the attorney general's office, what was your understanding of what had been resolved by the parties?
- A. Many issues had. We basically felt like before that meeting that we had resolved virtually all of the primary issues. There were a couple of smaller issues that were not resolved, and then the electric rate issue had not been resolved as

1 of the meeting on the 30th.

1.4

- Q. Okay. And you're using a term that I'm a little unfamiliar with. I'm familiar with the term "revenue recognition." Does that mean anything to you, or revenue requirement, rather?
 - A. Yes, revenue requirement.
- Q. When you say "rate," are you referring to the revenue requirements issue?
 - A. I am.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It's the revenue requirement that drives the electric rates.
 - Q. All right, sir. So that had not been agreed to as of -- clearly as of your meeting -- going to your meeting on the 30th.
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Did you have a sense that there was agreement on most of the other issues?
- A. Yes. There was some debate about handling of an OMU issue that hadn't been fully resolved. There was another -- I mean, we're talking about in the context of \$100 plus million rate case, these are issues related to dollar sums of a million or less that remained, but they were -- still needed clarification or they were definitional issues that

- needed clarification. There were no other major

 issues outstanding other than the revenue requirement

 issues, as you described it a moment ago.
 - Q. All right, sir. Tell me about the meeting on the 30th, as best you can recall. You've described for me who was there for LG&E.
 - A. The others present included Dennis

 Howard for the attorney general. The KIUC, and I

 believe that was Mike Kurtz. And I believe there may

 have been a young lady there for the low incomes, and

 I don't recall either her name, nor am I certain she

 was there.
 - Q. All right, sir. Any other participants?

1.7

telephone. And I don't currently recall any others, but, again, that was a shorter meeting. It took place in a small conference room at the attorney general's office. It was basically designed to see if we could resolve the final issues. We had sign off from all of the other interveners that were not present, that they were happy about all issues provided these remaining parties could get happy with these final couple of -- the revenue requirements issue and the other couple of minor issues I told you

about. In other words, they were all willing to not participate in that final meeting because they were -- they understood that they really had no high level of interest in the resolution of those couple of issues, and that if those key parties could get together, they were going to be happy with the result. I'm paraphrasing, not stating something that was stated to me.

The meeting was held to determine first whether LG&E would accept what was referred to as -- and perhaps unkindly -- as the black box settlement figure that had been proposed by the commission staff the previous afternoon. And we indicated in that meeting that we would go along with, happily, the black box settlement figure. And we discussed with parties who were present their willingness to go along with that number. There was general agreement regarding that issue.

It did require some further discussion of the -- what I've called the OMU issue and how that was going to be resolved. There was -- agreement was reached on that issue. And whatever the other issue is that I can't currently recall that was under discussion that day was also resolved.

There was an understanding that LG&E

needed to clear its agreement with its parent board 1 2 of directors -- board of management, but that we 3 would recommend that to that board. And it was clear that Mr. Howard had to get agreement from the attorney general, but that he would recommend it and he anticipated that he would get agreement. 6 7 And it was understood, of course, that 8 the commission ultimately had to review any 9 settlement and express its independent view with 10 regard to any settlement that was tendered to the 11 commission. 12 Ο. Okay. Do I take it that no one -- no 13 commissioner participated either by phone or in 14 person? 15 They did not. Α. 16 Ο. Okay. Anything else you remember 17 about the events of the 30th? 18 Α. No. That was the substance of the 19 meeting. 20 0. Fair enough. May the 3rd would have 21 been the next business day. The prehearing 22 conference, if that's any assistance to you, was 23 scheduled for that day at the Public Service Commission. Do you recall coming to the Public 24 25 Service Commission on that day? That would have been

1 Monday. 2 I'm a little fuzzy. I was thinking -frankly, until you just said that, I was thinking 3 that we had a day off before the hearing resumed, but 5 you -- I'm not -- you have access to more specific 6 data than I've got in front of me, and I don't 7 recall. But I do remember that following the 8 weekend, whether it was the Monday or whether it was 9 the Tuesday, we resumed at the commission -- you're 10 correct, it was on Monday. And at that time 11 Mr. Howard informed us that the attorney general did 12 not agree. I'm sorry, I -- Mr. Goldberg, I'm a 13 little fuzzy about the timing. 14 All right. Fair enough. You remember sometime in the next week, either Monday or Tuesday, 15 that discussions resumed at the Public Service 16 Commission? 17 18 Α. They did. 19 Q. All right. Were all parties present? 20 Yes. Α. 21 Q. Okay. And you obviously have a 22 recollection of Mr. Howard announcing that there was 23 not an agreement --24 Α. That's correct. 25 0. -- on revenue requirement.

1 Α. That's correct. 2 Ο, Okay. 3 For the electric side. Α. For the electric side. 0. Is that the 5 first item that you remember of significance and consequence in that week, or was there something 6 else? 7 8 Α. Well, it was certainly the most 9 significant event that occurred. 10 Ο. Let me see if I can reference this for 11 you a little better. Do you believe that Mr. Howard 12 announced the fact the attorney general was not in 13 agreement on the same day the attorney general 14 actually first appeared? 15 Α. I was thinking it was the day ahead. 16 Q. The day ahead. The day before, and then the following 17 18 day the attorney general showed up at the commission 19 and requested a meeting with me. 20 All right, sir. Now, I trust the 0. 21 parties were negotiating other issues along with 22 revenue requirement. And even though it's your belief there was some agreement, I presume working 23 24 out some of these details required a period of time

of discussion amongst the parties. Is that correct?

- One of the things that took place over 1 Α. 2 the weekend was the drafting of a document to reflect 3 the agreement. And of course, not everyone had a chance to read that at the same time, and it required 5 reading and feedback to be sure that the document 6 reflected the agreement of the parties. And there 7 was considerable debate over wording and particular provisions of that agreement that went on for a 8 9 period of days.
 - Q. All right, sir. By way of example, was it your understanding that there were still issues with regard to rate design and rate allocation being discussed on the --
 - A. Yes, you're correct.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- 4th, 5th and 6th?
- A. That refreshes my recollection. Yes, that is correct.
 - Q. Okay. Did you have a sense that there was basic agreement on those issues and that there was discussion about the details that needed to be worked out, or was it --
 - A. Yes, I think that's fair. I think there was broad understanding and expectation of agreement, but there were details associated with it.
- Q. Okay. Am I understanding it's your

recollection that it's Mr. Howard who made the
announcement that the attorney general was not in
agreement?

A. It is.

- Q. Okay. And when I say "not in agreement," I'm referring to not in agreement with the revenue requirements understanding that you developed on April the 30th.
- A. That was the way I understood your question.
 - Q. All right, sir. Do you recall what time of the day, morning, afternoon, that he made his announcement?
 - A. My memory is it was later in the day because he couldn't get a meeting with the AG until midday-ish. I don't remember what time he walked in to the commission to announce that the attorney general was not in agreement, but it was not at the starting day. That, I'm confident of.
 - Q. Okay. Is it your sense it was the first full day of negotiations that week or was it the second full day of negotiations?
 - A. My memory is it was the first.
- Q. All right. What course of action did
 you take when you learned that?

```
I don't recall specifically taking a
             Α.
 1
                   I believe LG&E, through its team, was
 2
     direct role.
     trying real hard to understand what the issues were,
 3
     what the problems were from the attorney general,
 5
     from Dennis Howard, because we were frankly amazed by
     this development. And at some point we began trying
 6
     to figure out how to carve the attorney general out
 7
     of a settlement on the electric revenue requirements
 8
     issue and still reach agreement on all other issues
 9
     relating to the rate case.
10
                     Do you have a recollection of what the
11
             Ο.
     response of the KIUC and other interveners was to the
12
     news of Mr. Howard?
13
                     They were as shocked at we were.
14
             Α.
                     All right.
15
             Q.
                     And as upset.
16
             Α.
                     I take it the parties continued to
17
             Ο.
     negotiate with each other.
18
                     We did, including with the attorney
19
             Α.
20
     general.
                     Okay. And the attorney general --
21
             Q.
                     Or the attorney general's
22
             Α.
23
     representative --
24
             Q.
                     Right.
25
             Α.
                     -- on all matters other than that one
```

issue. And he, frankly, was pretty firm with regard
to the attorney general's unwillingness to accept
the -- what I earlier referred to as black box
figure.

- Q. Okay. Memory is fleeting on these things. I'm trying to get an understanding of whether or not, as of learning from Mr. Howard that the attorney general was not in agreement, whether prior to that time you thought there was an actual agreement that had been agreed to by the attorney general.
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. In fact, I had reported it back to my boss and our parent company that we had an agreement. I made it clear what the conditions of that agreement were, which were subject to board approval by LG&E, subject to the attorney general's final approval and subject to the commission's approval, but that we had a commitment from all of the parties that they would recommend --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- the settlement.
- Q. And did you develop that understanding by the conclusion of the April 30th meeting?

1 A. I did.

- Q. All right. So that I'm clear, was it your understanding that before you left on April the 30th, that Mr. Howard had authority to say we have a tentative agreement of some kind?
- A. It was my -- from Mr. Howard, I had been told that he was keeping the attorney general well informed of all discussions. And, therefore, I viewed his statement that he needed the AG's sign off to be a formality, as opposed to going for the first time and informing the AG of a proposed settlement and having the AG exercise independent judgment with respect to it. I was under the clear impression that the attorney general had been involved with his own team in the discussions about this settlement from the outset.
- Q. All right. So do I take it you were surprised, then, to learn from Mr. Howard that during the week of the 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, that the attorney general was no longer in agreement?
 - A. I was.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall how late on the same day that you learned the attorney general was not in agreement that the parties continued negotiating?

- A. I do not. There was one day we went
 very, very late. Whether it was that day or one of
 the days from the previous week, I simply do not now
 recall.
 - Q. Okay. Let's turn the page to the next day, which I believe would be May the 5th or May the 6th.
 - A. May the 4th, wasn't it?
 - Q. Well, May 4th, 5th.
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. And do you have a recollection of the attorney general coming to the premises?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Okay.

A. I first heard that he was involved in a press conference out in front of the building, is my recollection. And then I did not know whether he was still at the PSC or not, but subsequently I believe Mr. Howard came and told me that the attorney general was still in the building and wanted to talk to me. Kendrick Riggs and I went to an office there at the commission. The attorney general, Mr. Howard and I believe Betsy Blackford were all present. And I don't remember the specifics, but the message he relayed was that he -- Attorney General Stumbo

apologized to me for what he essentially characterized as a failure of his team to understand their authority or to understand his communications with them, and that they had incorrectly communicated his approval of the settlement amount.

1.7

Both I and -- I accepted his apology and urged him to reconsider. I think Mr. Riggs joined in that effort to urge him to reconsider. Whereupon he told me that he knew that LG&E was willing to accept less than the black box figure and that his -- his experts had confirmed to him that the maximum allowable revenue requirement that they could come up with was \$96 million, and we should accept \$96 million.

I told him he was absolutely wrong, that we would were not willing to accept less than the proposal. In fact, our minimum requirements were significantly above the amount of the black box settlement, that we filed a rate case, based on substantial evidence and supporting data, that reflected \$140 million revenue requirement, and that the acceptance of the \$101.4 million black box figure was substantially below what we considered a minimal accepted figure, and that just as we were making a compromise, a painful and sacrificial compromise, I

expected the same from the attorney general. And if 1 his experts were telling him \$96 million, it was only 2 a \$5 million move for him to get to that settlement, 3 and it was a -- I think a \$20 some odd million move 5 for us to get to that settlement. And that, therefore, he should approach this with the same 6 7 spirit of compromise that we had, which would lead to a settlement. 8 How long was the meeting? 9 Ο. Α. Relatively brief. I would say under 10 11 10 minutes. Do you remember anything else? 12 Q. About that meeting, I do not. 13 Α. Do you remember talking to Mr. Howard 14 0. or Ms. Blackford about that meeting? 15 I believe I did. I mean, obviously 16 Α. Mr. Howard is the one, as I recall, who came and got 17 me for the meeting, so I had that conversation with 18 him. But I believe subsequently I repeated to him 19 essentially what I'd said to the attorney general, 20 that it just seemed hard for me to accept or believe 21 22 that the attorney general, who had shown no 23 interest -- public interest in this entire proceeding 24 up until that day, would suddenly torpedo a 25 settlement that the parties had negotiated over so

hard and -- with his full participation, and that they would, what appeared to me over a \$5 million issue, blow up an otherwise significant historic settlement for the people of Kentucky.

- Q. Okay. Why did you believe it to be historic?
- A. Because I was not aware of any other occasion where a -- in Kentucky where a complete rate case had ever been resolved by settlement. And I thought that the fact that the parties could come together and negotiate in good faith to reach a settlement was a great benefit to Kentucky, to the state and to the people of Kentucky, and that he appeared to be torpedoing it for reasons that he could not articulate and never did articulate other than his personal conviction that we should have been willing to accept less.
- Q. Did Mr. Howard, Ms. Blackford say anything in the meeting with the attorney general, or were they guiet during the meeting?
 - A. My recollection is they were quiet.
- Q. And I asked you, did you have any conversations with them subsequent. You've just -- do you recall Mr. Howard or Ms. Blackford communicating to you their disappointment in the

attorney general's --1 I do. 2 Α. -- decision? 3 0. I do, I do. I had very much Α. appreciated the tough negotiation that they had 5 engaged in in the days preceding that, and I 6 7 respected both their professional skills and their 8 involvement in the negotiations. And I was a bit 9 dismayed that they would have led us down one path 10 and then we would have had this reversal. I think 11 they were -- understood that that was my view, and 12 there was an apology to me -- or it may have been to 13 us -- and by that I can't remember who else might have been present -- that this had occurred. 14 Okay. And when do you recall that 15 Q. 16 being given? 17 Α. It was within either the same day or the next day of that event. 18 19 Let me get you to reverse yourself a Ο, little bit in terms of time and go back to 20 21 April 30th. Did you have the understanding that 22 while you were participating in the discussion of April 30th at the attorney general's office that 23 Mr. Howard was communicating directly with the 24 25 attorney general?

- 1 Α. There were some that expressed 2 statements about that. I can't tell you which -precisely at what points they occurred, but there had 3 been an earlier reversal of some -- I don't recall the specifics -- in which Mr. Howard had come in 5 6 and -- indicated an agreement and then come back the 7 next day and said, "I talked to the attorney general 8 and he's changed his mind," or something and we 9 renegotiated that point. Again, I don't recall 10 precisely what it was, but it was very apparent to me 11 that the attorney general was to some level involved 12 in receiving information about the negotiations and 13 expressing opinions about them.
 - Q. Okay. Other than this 10-minute conversation that you had with the attorney general on the day he first came to the PSC, did you ever have any other conferences with the attorney general where he personally participated?
 - A. Only the attorney general's interview of me which occurred in the course of the attorney general's investigation.
 - Q. Okay. Other than that?
 - A. No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. All right. And specifically from the period April 28th through May 12th, 2004.

A. None.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Except for this exchange between you -- you and Mr. Riggs and the attorney general and his two staff members, did you ever have any other meetings where there was just you and one of the other interveners?

- A. On issues relating to the --
- Q. Regarding the rate cases.
- That would be very difficult for me to say no to because in the context of the days of discussion, settlement discussion, there were discussions among individuals where I think I recall Mike Kurtz of the KIUC coming up to me and saying, "What's going on with the attorney general? "why is he being" -- and I don't recall the word squirrly, but "Why is he changing his mind about settlement?" showing some real discomfort with the attorney general's position. Is that discussion about the rate case? I think it is. My recollection is that was between the two of us. Whether other people were where they could overhear that or not, I don't recall. Mr. Howard spoke to me at one point during the negotiations alone about asking us to accept a reduction of \$400,000 in our demand over a That was a one-on-one discussion. particular issue.

- 1 Q. That was not related to revenue 2 recognition on the electricity?
- Α. It was related to revenue recognition 3 on electricity. It was either that -- it was either related to that or a related issue of this OMU issue 5 I described earlier, which impacted revenue. And --I mean, I got a little bit involved in the NAS 7 discussions. Other interveners weren't interested in that discussion. I believe I had some direct 9 discussions with David Brown, representative of 10 11 Kroger, a lawyer for Kroger, about the Kroger settlement. But those were all in the context of the 12 overall settlement. There were never any 13 14 discussions, outside the knowledge of or 15 participation of other parties, with any member of the commission or the commission staff. 16
 - Q. All right, sir. Do you recall whether or not -- well, I take it this occurred sometime -- this meeting with the attorney general, sometime during the day of -- you can't pick -- you don't know really the exact date. Do you have any -- days of the week wise, do you have a sense of it?
 - A. If -- if the hearing was scheduled to begin on the 4th --
 - Q. Yes, sir.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 A. -- I believe it was on the 4th.

- Q. Okay. So it would have been the first day of actual hearing that it was actually scheduled?
- A. Yes, but that first day of hearing was postponed in order to allow more time for settlement discussion. So the actual hearing hadn't begun, but it was scheduled to have begun.
- Q. Did the actual hearing begin the day of the conversation with the attorney general? When I say "the actual hearing," testimony being put in?
- A. I don't recall whether it was later that day or the following morning that the actual testimony began.
- Q. All right. Take me through the -- the next day, if you will, as you recall it.
- A. Whenever testimony began, whether it was later that day or the following day, the hearing began. There were further efforts to see if there was any movement on the part of the attorney general. There was not, so evidence was taken, witnesses some witnesses were cross-examined, and the hearing concluded over the course of roughly three days.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall a time -specifically I will give you the date -- May the
 6th -- where Mr. Raff at the beginning of a hearing

date put on the record that he had heard an interview with the attorney general and that the attorney general had made the statement that he believed there was collusion going on with regard to the rate cases and the hearing of them? Do you recall that?

A. I do recall that. My recollection is that that was a radio -- or I didn't hear the broadcast, but that I had been told that there was a radio broadcast in which the attorney general had alleged that he believed there was collusion with regard to the rate case settlement, improper activity, conduct by the parties that included collusion in the rate case settlement. It was subsequent to my meeting with the attorney general. I know that. I know that the attorney general in his meeting with me said nothing about any impropriety whatsoever.

And as a result of the information about that radio broadcast, the -- I believe both the chairman and Mr. Raff inquired of the parties whether they had any knowledge of any impropriety or any collusion or misconduct of any kind. That question was specifically directed at Mr. Howard. I don't remember whether it was also directed at Ms. Blackford, but if she was present, I feel sure

```
that it was.
                   And it then was directed at each of the
 1
     other parties present, both LG&E and the other
 2
     interveners.
 3
                    All right, sir. Do you recall
             0.
     Mr. Riggs making a statement on the record dealing
 5
     with -- or calling upon anybody if they did not think
 6
 7
     the process was fair, to come forward?
                     I do.
 8
             Α.
                            Tell me -- I --
 9
             0.
                     Okay.
10
             Α.
                     He made, I thought, a rather eloquent
11
     appeal to anyone present in the hearing room who had
12
     any knowledge of any impropriety to speak up so we
13
     could all know about what it was that was alleged to
     have occurred.
14
                    And this occurred immediately after
15
             Q .
     Chairman Goss' colloquy with everyone?
16
                     It did.
17
             Α.
18
             Q.
                    Okay.
19
                     Or in the context of that.
             Α.
20
     examination of -- of the all the parties present.
21
                     Okay. Did anyone step forward to say
             Q.
22
     they thought the process was unfair?
                     No one expressed the view that they
23
     had any knowledge of any misconduct of any kind.
24
```

Mr. Howard, I believe, made a comment like, "I am not

aware of any misconduct having occurred or any
collusion having occurred, but I was not necessarily
present at all discussions that took place."

And I believe he got examined on that or -- to the effect of, "Are you suggesting that something did occur outside your hearing?" to which he responded again, "I'm not aware of anything, but I wasn't present always."

- Q. To your knowledge, was he present always?
- A. He was not, for example, present in all of the NAS discussions, which I indicated I was not present. To my knowledge, he was present in any discussion, any discussion occurring relating to electric revenue requirements in which I had any participation or any other LG&E representative had any participation.
- Q. All right, sir. And so that I am clear, by close of hearing on May the 6th, was it your understanding that there was agreement on everything but revenue requirements on electricity?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And did the attorney general and his staff sign off on, as being agreed, all items other than revenue requirement on electricity?

That is correct. 1 Α. Okay. And specifically what I'm 2 Q. referring to is anything to do with rate design. 3 Were they in agreement with that? Was that your 5 understanding? Α. 6 He was. Anything to do with rate allocation? 7 Q. 8 Α. He was. 9 Q. Okay. And --10 Α. Same with regard to the gas revenue requirement. 11 12 Q. Okay. And those four elements, adding 13 revenue requirement and electricity, really form the basis of the agreement, do they not? 14 They do. 15 Α. 16 Q. So as of close of business, May 6, 2004, close of hearing, all those items were in 17 agreement by all parties except for revenue 18 requirement on electricity, and the attorney general 19 was the only entity or party not in agreement. 20 That's correct. 21 Α. 22 All right, sir. Do you recall being Q. present on May the 12th, 2004? 23 24 Α. I do. 25 Q. Okay. Tell me what you recall of the

events of May the 12th. It would have been six days after the last session on May 6th.

- A. That was basically a resumption of the hearing for the purpose of presenting to the commission the agreed document that had been circulated for all parties to review. And my very vague recollection, because I have not reviewed anything with regard to what occurred that day, is that there was testimony offered to the effect that this document represented the full agreement of all parties and that all signatures required for -- to reflect that agreement had been obtained as of that day.
- Q. Okay. As you left on May the 12th,
 2004, what issue or issues did you think were
 unresolved and subject to determination by the Public
 Service Commission?
- A. All issues were resolved by settlement on all matters including electric revenue requirements with all parties except the attorney general who had not agreed to the electric revenue requirement only. That was the only exception he had to the agreement.
- Q. So I take it that was the only thing submitted for decision to the Public Service

Commission, or was the settlement agreement --1 The entire agreement was submitted to 2 the commission. 3 Let me rephrase that. The only thing 0. 5 that was not resolved by the parties themselves was 6 revenue requirement -- the electrical piece, 7 electricity piece. Α. That is correct, 8 Ο. But obviously the Public Service 9 10 Commission had to look at the agreement as a whole and give its approval. 11 12 That's correct. Α. 13 Q. All right, sir. Do you have a 14 recollection of what transpired after that, May the 15 12th? Did you ultimately get a decision back from the Public Service Commission? 16 17 We did. It was at the -- my Α. recollection is it was at the end of June. I don't 18 19 remember the specific date, but before that, again, 20 my memory is roughly mid June we received subpoenas 21 from the attorney general regarding the 22 implementation of an investigation into ex parte 23 contacts in connection with the rate case. 24 Q. All right, sir. I take it it's your 25 understanding, then, that those subpoenas were

received prior to determination by the Public Service
Commission.

A. They were.

- Q. Short -- in time shortly before?
- A. A couple of weeks, is my recollection.
- Q. All right. Did you have any meetings -- are you aware of any meetings --
- A. Let me just -- I don't want to relate my legal advice, but given the reaction -- facial reaction of my counsel, I may be wrong about that timing.
 - MR. SNYDER: I recall the subpoenas being issued in early July because I was in Europe at the time and I recall getting a call at an odd time of day in Copenhagen.
- A. The record will be what the record is.
 - Q. It is a statement, Mr. McCall. If we were -- in the old days if it was a deposition, I'd object to counsel's testimony. But it's for the record to keep it straight. If that's what it was, it was.
 - MR. SNYDER: I think you're right about the timing of the PSC's decision, but I think the subpoenas came shortly after that.
- A. Let me say it on the record. My

recollection is that the attorney general said 1 2 nothing following the conclusion of the rate case. And it was a period of weeks before we were aware 3 that he was concerned about how the settlement had been achieved, other than a brief radio comment that I had not heard, but had heard about. And it was 6 7 quite a surprise to me to find out that he was going 8 to press the issue further because he had said 9 nothing further in the course of the formal 10 proceeding.

- Q. Were there any discussions, to your knowledge, with the attorney general or his staff between May the 12th, 2004 and the decision rendered by the Public Service Commission?
 - A. I'm not aware of any.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you a question about your internal understanding of something. Are you familiar with the Louisville Gas & Electric versus Cowan case?
- 20 A. Iam.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. I trust, as general counsel, you have read that on occasion.
- 23 | A. I have.
- Q. Okay. And are aware of its teachings
 as it relates to exparte communication.

1 Α. I am aware. Okay. Since that deals with 2 Q., Louisville Gas & Electric, I'm curious whether or not 3 the teachings of that case have been adopted by Louisville Gas & Electric and KU as part of its 5 6 teachings and understandings imparted to its 7 employees. 8 Α. It has. It's been a subject of 9 frequent discussion, conversation, instruction, 10 indoctrination of new people, et cetera. 11 It's part of the training package at Ο. 12 LG&E/KU? 13 It is for the people who deal with the Public Service Commission. We don't do that with 14 15 every employee. 16 When you say those who deal with Ο. 17 Public Service Commission, would that be all the regulatory folks? 18 19 All the regulatory people who have Α. 20 occasion to have contacts with the commission. 21 All right, sir. And just in short, so Q. 22 we can make this part of your statement, what do you believe is the admonition of the Cowan case as it's 23 24 imparted to those employees who need to know at 25 LG&E/KU?

1	A. It is that they are not to have
2	substantive communication with the commission
3	regarding any matter pending before the commission or
4	to be pending before the commission or its or with
5	the commission staff.
6	Q. All right, sir. Do you have any
7	knowledge of any contact that would come within that
8	prohibition made by any employee of LG&E/KU with
9	commission members?
10	A. I do not.
11	Q. Okay. Let me ask you the same
12	question with regard to staff.
13	A. Same answer, I do not.
14	MR. GOLDBERG: All right, sir. That's
15	all I have. Thanks.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
17	
18	(STATEMENT CONCLUDED AT 11:55 A.M.)
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

```
1
     STATE OF KENTUCKY
                           ) ( SS:
 2
     COUNTY OF JEFFERSON
                           ) (
 3
 4
                     I, ELLEN L. COULTER, Notary Public,
     State of Kentucky at Large, hereby certify that the
 5
     foregoing sworn statement was taken at the time and
     place stated in the caption; that the appearances
 6
     were as set forth in the caption; that prior to
     giving testimony the witness was first duly sworn by
 7
     me; that said testimony was taken down by me in
     stenographic notes and thereafter reduced under my
 8
     supervision to the foregoing typewritten pages and
     that said typewritten transcript is a true, accurate
 9
     and complete record of my stenographic notes so
     taken.
10
                     I further certify that I am not
     related by blood or marriage to any of the parties
11
     hereto and that I have no interest in the outcome of
     captioned case.
12
                     My commission as Notary Public expires
     November 5, 2007.
13
                     Given under my hand this the
14
     day of
                                   2005, at Louisville,
1.5
     Kentucky.
16
17
18
                                  ELLEN L. COULTER
                                  NOTARY PUBLIC
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I, the undersigned, JOHN McCALL, do hereby
2	certify that I have read the foregoing sworn
3	statement, and that, to the best of my knowledge,
4	said sworn statement is true and accurate, with the
5	exception of the corrections, if any, listed on the
6	errata sheet.
7	
8	Call
9	JOHN McCALL
10	
11	Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>3/at</u>
12	day of August, 2005.
13	
14	
15	
16	Corol G. Hardison NOTARY PUBLIC
17	
18	
19	My commission expires <u>August 5, 2008</u>
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	i e

COULTER REPORTING, LLC 101 EAST KENTUCKY STREET, SUITE 200 LOUISVILLE, KY 40203

ERRATA SHEET

NAME John McCall	DATE OF DEPOSITION July	28,2005
NAME John Man	2 *********************************	
After having read my deposition, I wis	h to make the following changes:	None
Page Line		\$ 10 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
Change Reason for change		
Reason for change		
Page Line		
Reason for change		
Page Line		
Change		
Reason for change		
Page Line Change		
Reason for change		
Page Line		
Reason for change		
Page Line Change		
Reason for change		
Page Line		
Change		
Reason for change		
Page Line		
Change Reason for change		
Keason for change		
Page Line Change		
Reason for change		

[1] 38/15	amazed [1] 29/5	be [21] 5/21 8/18 11/13 15/7 16/13 17/25
LLC [3] 4/19 4/21 9/18	American [4] 15/10 18/18 19/1 19/10	19/23 19/23 23/6 23/21 27/5 27/20 31/10
LLC's [1] 18/24	among [1] 38/12	32/6 35/5 35/14 38/9 47/10 47/16 49/17
	amongst [1] 26/25	50/4
	amount [2] 33/5 33/18	be [1] 19/23
[116]	and [5] 33/6 35/1 37/6 39/6 44/9	became [1] 8/9
	Andrea [1] 13/13	because [11] 3/13 17/21 18/5 23/2 28/15
A	announce [1] 28/17	29/5 35/7 38/10 45/7 47/13 48/8
a [4] 5/16 8/15 34/4 35/8	announced [1] 26/12	been [34] 3/15 5/5 7/20 11/10 13/24
a.m [2] 3/6 50/18	announcement [2] 28/2 28/13	13/25 15/13 17/15 17/22 20/9 20/19 20/25
able [1] 15/7	announcing [1] 25/22	21/13 21/20 22/10 23/12 24/21 24/25
about [42] 4/4 10/4 10/14 11/9 11/16	another [1] 21/21	30/10 31/7 31/14 35/9 35/16 36/12 36/14
11/21 12/4 12/16 12/23 13/6 14/6 14/10	another [1] 21/21	37/4 40/2 41/8 45/1 45/5 45/12 48/5 49/4
17/15 18/7 18/20 18/22 21/19 21/22 22/4	answer [5] 9/16 10/20 11/3 11/11 50/13	49/8
22/22 23/1 24/17 25/13 27/20 31/15 34/13	anticinated [1] 24/6	been [1] 20/9
34/15 37/2 37/12 37/13 38/16 38/19 38/23	any [E2]	Beer [1] 19/5
	any [-5] any [1] 39/21	before [11] 12/2 20/11 20/22 25/4 26/17
48/6 48/16	anybody [1] 42/6	31/3 46/19 47/4 48/3 50/3 50/4
above [1] 33/18	anyone [4] 19/1 19/22 42/11 42/21	began [4] 29/6 40/13 40/16 40/18
absolutely [2] 30/12 33/15	anything [10] 4/2 17/14 21/4 24/16	begin [2] 39/24 40/8
accept [8] 23/10 30/2 33/10 33/13 33/16	34/12 35/19 43/7 44/3 44/7 45/8	
34/21 35/17 38/24		beginning [1] 40/25
37/21 33/17 36/24 acceptable [1] 16/18	apart [1] 18/2 apologized [1] 33/1	begun [2] 40/6 40/7
acceptance [1] 33/22		behavior [1] 3/22 being [10] 17/8 17/18 20/13 27/13 36/16
accepted [2] 33/6 33/24	apology [2] 33/6 36/12	
access [1] 25/5	apparent [1] 37/10	38/15 40/10 43/24 44/22 47/13
accurate [1] 51/8	appeal [1] 42/11 appearances [1] 51/5	belief [1] 26/23
achieved [2] 16/13 48/5	appeared [4] 4/3 26/14 35/2 35/14	believe [21] 8/20 9/12 13/10 15/12 22/9
acquainted [1] 3/14		22/9 26/11 29/2 32/6 32/19 32/23 34/16
action [1] 28/24	applies [3] 10/20 11/3 11/11	34/19 34/21 35/5 39/9 40/1 41/19 42/25
actively [1] 6/2	apply [1] 8/15 appreciate [1] 4/9	43/4 49/23
activity [1] 41/12		believed [2] 41/3 41/10
actual [9] 7/6 9/22 13/22 30/9 40/3 40/6	appreciated [1] 36/5	bellsouth.net [1] 1/24
40/8 40/10 40/12	approach [1] 34/6	below [1] 33/23
actually [4] 5/24 9/10 26/14 40/3	approval [5] 30/17 30/18 30/19 33/5	benefit [1] 35/12
	46/11	Beside [1] 5/23
adding [1] 44/12	approximately [1] 3/6	best [3] 4/12 8/20 22/5
address [1] 4/14	April [8] 13/24 17/15 28/8 30/25 31/3	Betsy [2] 16/25 32/23
addressed [1] 20/14	36/21 36/23 37/25	better [1] 26/11
ADJUSTMENT [2] 1/5 1/10	are [19] 3/24 5/1 5/7 7/22 9/6 10/9 12/4	between [3] 38/3 38/20 48/13
admonition [1] 49/23	12/5 12/17 12/25 13/8 16/4 21/7 21/23	bit [3] 36/8 36/20 39/7
adopted [1] 49/4	43/5 47/7 48/17 48/24 50/1	black [6] 23/11 23/15 30/3 33/10 33/18
advice [1] 47/9	area [1] 5/20	33/22
Aegon [1] 2/10	articulate [2] 35/15 35/15	Blackford [6] 16/25 32/23 34/15 35/18
affairs [1] 7/16	as [56]	35/24 41/25
after [5] 8/9 42/15 45/2 46/14 47/24	as [2] 11/3 23/11	Blake [1] 19/4
afternoon [2] 23/13 28/12	ask [9] 8/1 10/17 10/25 11/4 11/18 12/15	
AG [3] 28/15 31/11 31/12		blow [1] 35/3
AG's [1] 31/9		board [4] 24/1 24/2 24/3 30/17
again [6] 9/24 20/9 22/17 37/9 43/7		Bob [1] 11/6
46/19		boss [1] 30/15
ago [1] 22/3		both [10] 6/12 8/10 10/20 14/19 14/23
agree [1] 25/12	associated [2] 5/19 27/24	16/19 33/6 36/7 41/19 42/2
agreed [5] 21/14 30/10 43/24 45/5 45/21		box [6] 23/11 23/15 30/3 33/10 33/18
agreement [38] 21/18 23/18 23/21 24/1	11/8 13/12 14/18 14/21 14/24 15/14 18/3	33/22
24/4 24/6 25/23 26/13 26/23 27/3 27/6		break [1] 12/5
27/8 27/19 27/24 28/3 28/6 28/6 28/18		breakout [1] 6/9
29/9 30/8 30/10 30/15 30/16 31/5 31/20		brief [2] 34/10 48/5
31/24 37/6 43/20 44/4 44/14 44/18 44/20		broad [1] 27/23
45/10 45/12 45/23 46/1 46/2 46/10		broadcast [3] 41/8 41/9 41/19
agreement [2] 25/23 46/1		broader [1] 14/24
ahead [2] 26/15 26/16		Brown [2] 2/9 39/10
all [84]	authority [2] 31/4 33/3	building [2] 32/16 32/20
alleged [2] 41/10 42/13	aware [8] 35/7 43/1 43/7 47/7 48/3	business [4] 4/14 9/17 24/21 44/16
allocation [2] 27/12 44/7	48/15 48/24 49/1	but [48] 4/7 6/8 8/23 9/12 9/15 9/23 11/8
allow [1] 40/5	В	11/10 11/11 12/10 14/16 15/8 15/21 16/4
allowable [1] 33/12		16/11 16/16 17/25 18/6 20/14 21/24 22/17
alone [1] 38/23	back [5] 4/11 30/14 36/20 37/6 46/15	24/2 24/5 25/4 25/7 27/24 28/18 30/19
along [4] 13/4 23/14 23/17 26/21	based [1] 33/19	32/18 32/24 34/19 37/3 37/10 38/16 39/12
also [5] 5/12 11/4 16/13 23/24 41/24	basic [1] 27/19	40/4 40/6 41/8 41/25 43/2 43/7 43/21 46/9
aiways [3] 16/15 43/8 43/10	basically [3] 20/21 22/19 45/3	46/19 47/9 47/19 47/23 48/6
am [13] 5/3 5/9 9/8 10/14 13/2 21/9	basis [1] 44/14	
22/11 27/25 42/25 43/18 48/20 49/1 51/10	<u> </u>	.

concerned [1] 48/4 December [2] 9/1 9/2 C concluded [2] 40/22 50/18 decided [2] 8/15 9/18 called [1] 23/20 conclusion [4] 4/9 20/11 30/25 48/2 decision [8] 9/5 9/20 9/21 36/3 45/25 conditions [3] 1/6 1/11 30/16 46/15 47/23 48/13 calling [1] 42/6 came [4] 32/19 34/17 37/16 47/24 Defense [1] 15/9 conduct [1] 41/12 conference [5] 14/1 14/17 22/18 24/22 can [8] 4/8 4/13 5/10 8/18 15/20 22/5 definitional [1] 21/25 degree [3] 7/25 16/11 16/19 26/10 49/22 32/16 can't [7] 8/23 9/24 18/20 23/23 36/13 conferences [1] 37/17 demand [1] 38/24 confident [1] 28/19 **Dennis [3]** 16/25 22/7 29/5 37/2 39/20 **Department** [1] 15/9 capacity [4] 4/23 5/5 10/10 12/9 confirmed [1] 33/11 caption [2] 51/5 51/6 connection [1] 46/23 departure [1] 11/8 depending [1] 20/13 captioned [1] 51/11 consequence [1] 26/6 carve [1] 29/7 considerable [1] 27/7 deposition [2] 4/7 47/18 case [21] 1/5 1/10 3/18 5/20 6/24 9/5 considered [1] 33/23 deputy [1] 19/8 14/19 14/25 21/22 29/10 33/19 35/9 38/19 consultant [1] 19/3 **Derby [1]** 17/18 41/11 41/13 46/23 48/2 48/19 49/4 49/23 contact [2] 8/11 50/7 described [6] 5/8 19/13 20/5 22/3 22/6 contacts [2] 46/23 49/20 39/6 51/11 cases [24] 3/17 3/18 3/23 5/8 5/11 6/1 context [14] 8/4 8/6 10/5 10/6 10/8 design [2] 27/12 44/3 6/13 6/15 6/16 6/21 8/15 9/2 9/19 10/4 designed [1] 22/19 12/11 12/21 13/4 14/7 14/15 21/22 38/10 details [3] 26/24 27/20 27/24 10/14 11/16 11/22 12/3 12/23 13/4 13/6 39/12 42/19 13/20 38/8 41/4 continued [2] 29/17 31/24 determination [3] 4/1 45/16 47/1 conversation [7] 11/14 12/6 12/8 34/18 **determine [3]** 3/20 3/20 23/9 Center [1] 2/10 **determine** -- [1] 3/20 **develop** [1] 30/24 certain [2] 3/16 22/11 37/15 40/9 49/9 certainly [3] 15/21 16/17 26/8 conversations [4] 10/13 11/11 11/21 certify [2] 51/4 51/10 developed [1] 28/8 35/23 cetera [1] 49/10 conviction [1] 35/16 development [1] 29/6 chairman [5] 8/10 10/10 10/21 41/20 Copenhagen [1] 47/15 did [47] 5/24 6/2 6/4 6/11 6/16 6/17 6/19 corporate [2] 4/17 4/25 8/8 10/3 12/8 12/22 12/24 13/5 13/7 15/2 42/16 16/11 16/22 17/10 21/17 23/19 24/15 correct [20] 4/19 4/22 9/16 10/11 10/16 chance [1] 27/4 changed [1] 37/8 11/17 20/2 20/3 21/16 25/10 25/24 26/1 25/11 25/18 27/18 28/24 29/19 30/24 31/1 26/25 27/14 27/17 43/22 44/1 44/21 46/8 32/17 34/16 35/5 35/15 35/18 35/22 36/21 changing [1] 38/16 37/16 38/4 40/8 42/6 42/17 42/21 43/6 characterized [1] 33/2 46/12 correctly [1] 10/15 43/23 45/15 46/15 46/17 47/6 charge [1] 3/19 could [13] 16/13 18/4 18/7 19/23 19/23 didn't [1] 41/7 circulated [1] 45/6 different [1] 17/21 City [2] 2/5 3/4 22/20 22/23 23/5 33/12 35/10 35/15 38/21 clarification [2] 21/25 22/1 42/13 difficult [1] 38/9 direct [3] 10/13 29/2 39/9 clear [7] 10/12 24/1 24/3 30/16 31/2 couldn't [1] 28/15 directed [3] 41/23 41/24 42/1 31/13 43/19 **COULTER [5]** 1/21 1/21 4/6 51/4 51/18 clearly [5] 15/22 16/14 17/19 17/20 CoulterLLC [1] 1/24 directly [1] 36/24 21/14 counsel [7] 2/2 4/25 6/3 18/10 19/8 directors [1] 24/2 close [3] 43/19 44/16 44/17 47/10 48/21 directors -- [1] 24/2 disappointment [1] 35/25 colloquy [2] 11/20 42/16 counsel's [1] 47/19 collusion [6] 3/22 41/4 41/10 41/13 County [2] 15/11 51/2 discomfort [1] 38/17 couple [6] 15/13 20/24 22/24 22/25 23/4 discussed [2] 23/16 27/13 41/22 43/2 come [7] 14/2 33/13 35/10 37/5 37/6 discussion [21] 12/12 13/12 13/18 13/19 47/5 42/7 50/7 course [7] 15/3 24/7 27/3 28/24 37/20 15/2 17/3 18/19 23/19 23/24 26/25 27/20 comes [1] 7/5 40/22 48/9 36/22 38/11 38/11 38/18 38/25 39/9 40/6 coming [4] 10/24 24/24 32/12 38/13 43/14 43/14 49/9 Cowan [2] 48/19 49/23 comment [2] 42/25 48/5 cross-examined [1] 40/21 discussions [16] 11/25 12/10 14/14 comments [1] 12/3 curious [1] 49/3 15/15 16/7 17/7 25/16 31/8 31/15 38/12 currently [2] 22/16 23/23 39/8 39/10 39/14 43/3 43/12 48/11 commission [52] commission -- [1] 25/9 dismayed [1] 36/9 commission's [1] 30/19 distant [1] 13/19 commissioner [8] 8/9 8/10 10/21 10/21 data [2] 25/6 33/20 do [64] date [10] 8/23 8/24 9/24 11/7 13/23 14/6 11/1 11/5 11/12 24/13 document [4] 27/2 27/5 45/5 45/10 Commissioner -- [1] 10/21 commissioners [4] 3/20 10/19 10/25 39/21 40/24 41/1 46/19 does [3] 7/9 7/11 21/4 date -- [1] 40/24 doing [2] 3/24 18/14 **DAVID [2]** 2/9 39/10 dollar [1] 21/23 11/16 commitment [1] 30/20 day [47] 3/5 14/3 14/11 14/11 15/3 17/11 don't [24] 9/11 11/7 13/10 15/21 16/2 17/17 17/18 18/1 18/3 19/13 23/24 24/21 communicated [1] 33/4 16/10 18/5 19/21 22/11 22/16 25/6 28/16 24/23 24/25 25/4 26/13 26/15 26/16 26/17 29/1 32/24 37/4 37/9 38/15 38/22 39/20 communicating [2] 35/25 36/24 26/18 28/12 28/14 28/19 28/21 28/22 communication [4] 3/21 7/6 48/25 50/2 40/11 41/23 46/18 47/8 49/14 communications [1] 33/3 31/23 32/1 32/2 32/6 34/24 36/17 36/18 don't -- [1] 15/21 37/7 37/16 39/20 40/3 40/4 40/8 40/12 Dorothy [1] 19/7 community [1] 7/19 company [4] 1/6 1/11 6/16 30/15 40/15 40/17 40/17 45/8 45/13 47/15 51/14 down [3] 4/7 36/9 51/7 complete [2] 35/8 51/9 days [9] 20/15 27/9 32/3 36/6 38/10 drafting [1] 27/2 39/21 40/22 45/1 47/18 component [1] 7/20 drives [1] 21/12 deal [4] 6/12 6/14 49/13 49/16 components [1] 6/9 duly [1] 51/6 dealing [3] 7/2 18/25 42/5 comprised [1] 15/19 during [7] 10/24 11/25 12/9 31/18 35/20 compromise [3] 33/25 33/25 34/7 deals [2] 6/16 49/2 38/23 39/20 debate [2] 21/19 27/7 concern [3] 5/14 5/22 5/24

fair [5] 8/19 24/20 25/14 27/22 42/7 give [5] 4/9 8/23 18/4 40/24 46/11 E faith [1] 35/11 given [3] 36/16 47/9 51/13 each [4] 3/14 19/13 29/18 42/1 fall [4] 5/20 8/21 9/23 9/25 giving [1] 51/6 earlier [4] 5/8 30/3 37/4 39/6 familiar [7] 5/7 7/23 9/6 12/25 13/8 21/3 go [4] 18/7 23/14 23/17 36/20 going [11] 10/24 12/4 12/5 20/17 21/15 early [2] 11/10 47/13 far [4] 18/2 18/7 18/22 19/14 23/6 23/21 31/10 38/14 41/4 48/7 East [1] 1/22 Edwards [1] 13/13 Faud [1] 13/8 GOLDBERG [6] 2/4 2/4 3/3 3/10 3/14 effect [2] 43/5 45/9 FAX [1] 1/24 25/12 effort [1] 33/8 feedback [1] 27/5 good [1] 35/11 Goss [1] 10/22 efforts [1] 40/18 feel [2] 4/7 41/25 either [9] 6/3 12/7 15/14 22/11 24/13 felt [1] 20/21 Goss' [1] 42/16 got [4] 25/6 34/17 39/7 43/4 25/15 36/17 39/4 39/4 Fifth [2] 2/5 3/4 electric [15] 1/5 1/6 1/10 18/3 20/25 figure [7] 23/12 23/15 29/7 30/4 33/10 **Government [1]** 15/11 21/12 26/3 26/4 29/8 43/15 45/19 45/21 33/22 33/24 great [2] 5/21 35/12 48/18 49/3 49/5 file [2] 8/15 9/5 group [3] 19/5 19/12 19/16 electrical [1] 46/6 filed [4] 9/1 9/2 9/10 33/19 filing [4] 9/7 9/10 9/22 9/23 electricity [7] 39/2 39/4 43/21 43/25 44/13 44/19 46/7 filings [2] 9/4 9/4 had [64] hadn't [2] 21/20 40/6 elements [1] 44/12 final [4] 22/20 22/24 23/2 30/18 find [1] 48/7 hallway [1] 12/7 ELLEN [3] 1/21 51/4 51/18 eloquent [1] 42/10 firm [1] 30/1 hand [1] 51/13 else [6] 19/1 19/22 24/16 26/7 34/12 handling [1] 21/20 first [13] 8/15 13/22 23/10 26/5 26/14 happily [1] 23/15 happy [3] 22/22 22/23 23/6 28/21 28/23 31/10 32/15 37/16 40/2 40/4 36/13 employed [1] 4/21 employee [2] 49/15 50/8 51/6 hard [3] 29/3 34/21 35/1 flawed [1] 19/23 employees [4] 7/3 11/19 49/7 49/24 fleeting [1] 30/5 hard-pressed [1] 17/25 end [3] 10/1 18/3 46/18 has [2] 7/19 49/8 Focusing [1] 20/16 Energy [6] 4/19 4/21 5/2 8/14 9/18 18/24 folks [1] 49/18 have [64] having [5] 5/23 14/2 31/12 43/1 43/2 engage [1] 15/2 followed [1] 9/5 engaged [1] 36/6 following [6] 14/11 25/7 26/17 40/12 he [50] 7/11 7/16 7/19 8/9 13/10 13/10 16/10 16/11 16/13 16/16 16/19 24/5 24/6 enough [3] 8/19 24/20 25/14 40/17 48/2 for -- [1] 45/11 24/6 28/12 28/15 28/16 30/1 31/7 31/9 entire [3] 14/25 34/23 46/2 entity [1] 44/20 32/15 32/17 32/24 32/25 33/1 33/9 33/9 foregoing [2] 51/5 51/8 essentially [2] 33/1 34/20 form [1] 44/13 33/15 34/6 35/13 35/14 37/16 37/18 38/15 38/16 41/1 41/3 41/10 42/10 43/4 43/7 et[1] 49/10 formal [1] 48/9 et cetera [1] 49/10 43/9 43/11 43/13 44/6 44/8 45/22 48/4 formality [1] 31/10 Europe [1] 47/13 48/7 48/8 formally [2] 13/23 16/10 he -- [1] 32/25 even [1] 26/22 former [1] 11/1 event [2] 26/9 36/18 he's [1] 37/8 forming [1] 6/19 events [4] 3/16 17/20 24/17 45/1 forth [1] 51/6 head [2] 5/1 19/5 ever [7] 10/3 11/21 12/22 13/5 35/9 forward [4] 9/19 9/21 42/7 42/21 hear [1] 41/7 heard [4] 32/15 41/1 48/6 48/6 37/16 38/4 **found [1]** 16/18 every [3] 17/11 19/13 49/15 four [1] 44/12 hearing [24] 6/18 10/2 10/5 10/6 10/9 10/10 12/4 12/7 25/4 39/23 40/3 40/4 40/6 everyone [2] 27/3 42/16 frame [3] 9/25 10/18 17/16 40/8 40/10 40/17 40/21 40/25 41/5 42/11 everything [1] 43/21 Frankfort [1] 7/20 evidence [2] 33/20 40/20 frankly [4] 17/23 25/3 29/5 30/1 43/6 43/19 44/17 45/4 ex [3] 3/21 46/22 48/25 frequent [1] 49/9 hearings [5] 5/25 6/3 6/4 6/24 15/15 ex parte [3] 3/21 46/22 48/25 held [2] 14/14 23/9 front [2] 25/6 32/16 help [2] 3/20 4/8 exact [1] 39/21 Frost [1] 2/9 her [5] 13/14 13/16 13/18 13/20 22/11 examination [2] 3/8 42/20 full [4] 28/21 28/22 35/1 45/10 fully [1] 21/20 hereby [1] 51/4 examined [1] 43/4 example [3] 7/9 27/10 43/11 function [1] 5/12 hereto [1] 51/11 except [3] 38/2 44/18 45/20 functions [1] 8/7 high [1] 23/3 further [5] 23/19 40/18 48/8 48/9 51/10 him [14] 8/9 8/10 8/12 10/13 12/23 13/6 exception [1] 45/22 33/7 33/8 33/11 33/15 34/2 34/3 34/19 fuzzy [3] 14/10 25/2 25/13 exchange [1] 38/2 34/19 executive [3] 4/24 5/14 5/17 himself [2] 17/4 17/5 exercise [1] 31/12 expectation [2] 14/21 27/23 **Gallis** [1] 19/9 his [25] 8/7 8/12 10/9 11/8 12/9 18/22 expected [2] 17/24 34/1 Gary [1] 11/1 28/12 31/9 31/14 33/2 33/3 33/5 33/6 experts [2] 33/11 34/2 gas [6] 1/5 1/6 44/10 48/18 49/3 49/5 33/11 33/11 34/2 35/1 35/16 37/8 38/4 38/16 41/15 42/19 43/24 48/12 expires [1] 51/12 general [58] his -- [1] 33/11 exploring [3] 14/21 14/24 16/12 general -- [2] 17/1 29/21 general's [12] 17/8 20/1 20/18 22/19 historic [2] 35/3 35/6 express [1] 24/9 expressed [2] 37/1 42/23 29/22 30/2 30/18 36/1 36/23 37/19 37/21 hope [1] 9/11 hopefully [1] 4/10 expressing [1] 37/13 38/18 how [8] 5/4 8/18 16/15 23/20 29/7 31/22 extent [1] 16/20 general's -- [1] 36/1 external [2] 7/16 7/17 generally [3] 14/6 14/16 20/14 34/9 48/4 get [13] 4/1 7/8 18/23 22/23 23/5 24/4 Howard [24] 16/25 22/8 24/4 25/11 25/22 26/11 28/1 29/5 29/13 30/7 31/4 24/6 28/15 30/6 34/3 34/5 36/19 46/15 facial [1] 47/9 getting [1] 47/14 31/6 31/18 32/19 32/22 34/14 34/17 35/18 fact [4] 26/12 30/14 33/17 35/10 Gillis [1] 11/2 35/24 36/24 37/5 38/22 41/23 42/25 failure [1] 33/2

Н	22/22 22/25 23/5 26/21 27/12 27/19 29/3	legal [2] 18/10 47/9
however [1] 16/14	29/9 38/7 45/15 45/18	lengthy [1] 20/10
Huelsman [4] 8/2 8/5 8/7 10/4	it [106] it [1] 27/21	less [4] 21/24 33/10 33/16 35/17 let [13] 8/1 10/17 10/25 11/4 11/18 13/2
	it's [14] 4/8 4/8 9/15 19/6 19/25 21/11	26/10 36/19 46/4 47/8 47/25 48/16 50/11
<u> </u>	26/22 27/25 28/1 46/24 47/19 49/8 49/11	let's [2] 10/2 32/5
I [5] 11/9 15/20 18/7 25/12 42/9	49/23	level [2] 23/4 37/11
I'd [2] 34/20 47/18	item [1] 26/5	levels [1] 15/14
I'II [1] 4/12	items [2] 43/24 44/17	Lexington-Fayette [1] 15/11
I'm [19] 3/14 8/18 12/16 13/15 21/3 21/3	its [10] 7/3 24/1 24/1 24/9 29/2 46/11	LG [20] 3/17 4/17 4/19 4/21 5/2 5/12
23/7 25/2 25/5 25/12 25/12 28/6 28/19 30/6 31/2 43/7 44/2 48/15 49/3	48/24 49/5 49/6 50/4	8/14 9/18 18/24 22/6 23/10 23/25 29/2
I've [5] 3/15 11/24 13/19 23/20 25/6	its [1] 50/4 itself [5] 9/23 10/6 10/7 10/9 18/3	30/17 33/9 42/2 43/16 49/12 49/25 50/8 light [1] 12/3
identified [1] 15/22		like [4] 4/6 4/7 20/22 42/25
identifying [1] 12/16	J	line [1] 20/16
if [16] 3/19 4/2 22/20 23/5 24/22 26/10	Jeff [2] 12/25 15/25	little [8] 14/10 17/25 21/3 25/2 25/13
34/1 39/23 39/23 40/15 40/18 41/25 42/6	JEFFERSON [1] 51/2	26/11 36/20 39/7
47/17 47/18 47/20	JOHN [3] 1/17 3/2 3/12	LLC [6] 1/21 2/9 4/18 4/19 4/21 9/18
If [1] 39/23	joined [1] 33/8	LLC's [1] 18/24
ignorance [1] 9/11	Jon [1] 3/14	long [3] 5/4 7/19 34/9
immediately [1] 42/15 impacted [1] 39/6	JONATHAN [1] 2/4	longer [1] 31/20
impacted [1] 39/6 imparted [2] 49/6 49/24	judgment [1] 31/12 JULY [3] 1/18 3/6 47/13	look[1] 46/10 lot[1] 18/18
implementation [1] 46/22	June [3] 10/2 46/18 46/20	LOUISVILLE [10] 1/6 1/23 2/6 2/11 3/5
impression [1] 31/13	just [8] 19/12 25/3 33/24 34/21 35/23	4/15 48/18 49/3 49/5 51/14
improper [1] 41/11	38/5 47/8 49/21	low [2] 15/9 22/10
impropriety [3] 41/16 41/21 42/12	just [2] 35/23 47/8	M
in [123]	K	
in-house [1] 6/12		M-C-C-A-L-L [1] 3/12
inappropriate [1] 3/22	KAPLAN [1] 2/9	made [9] 9/20 17/23 28/1 28/12 30/16
include [1] 15/8 included [2] 22/7 41/12	keep [1] 47/20 keeping [1] 31/7	41/3 42/10 42/25 50/8 Main [1] 4/15
includes [4] 7/17 7/18 7/19 7/21	Kendrick [2] 19/20 32/21	major [1] 22/1
including [2] 29/19 45/19	Kent [1] 19/4	make [1] 49/22
income [1] 15/10	KENTUCKY [15] 1/1 1/11 1/22 1/23 2/6	making [2] 33/24 42/5
incomes [1] 22/10	2/11 3/5 4/16 35/4 35/8 35/12 35/13 51/1	management [1] 24/2
incorrectly [1] 33/4	51/4 51/15	Many [1] 20/21
independent [2] 24/9 31/12	key [1] 23/5	Market [1] 2/10
indicated [3] 23/14 37/6 43/12	kicked [1] 9/21	marriage [1] 51/10
individuals [1] 38/12 indoctrination [1] 49/10	kind [4] 18/6 31/5 41/22 42/24 kinds [1] 12/5	Martha [2] 13/16 13/17 Martin [1] 19/9
informal [2] 14/1 14/16	KIUC [4] 15/8 22/8 29/12 38/13	Marty [3] 8/2 8/5 10/3
information [2] 37/12 41/18	knew [3] 4/1 8/9 33/9	matter [4] 5/13 5/14 5/21 50/3
informed [2] 25/11 31/8	know [24] 3/13 8/1 8/2 8/5 8/7 8/8 9/1	matters [6] 12/1 12/12 13/18 14/9 29/25
informing [1] 31/11	10/23 12/19 13/10 13/14 13/16 13/17 16/2	45/19
inquired [1] 41/20	17/22 18/2 18/22 19/14 32/17 39/20 41/15	
instruction [1] 49/9	41/15 42/13 49/24	may [25] 3/24 4/7 11/10 12/6 15/13 20/9
interest [9] 5/14 5/21 5/24 14/18 14/23 23/4 34/23 34/23 51/11	knowledge [11] 4/4 6/6 13/11 39/14 41/21 42/12 42/24 43/9 43/13 48/12 50/7	20/11 22/9 24/20 32/6 32/6 32/8 32/9
interest [1] 34/23	41/21 42/12 42/24 43/9 43/13 46/12 30// knows[1] 13/11	36/12 37/25 40/24 43/19 44/16 44/23 45/1 45/2 45/14 46/14 47/10 48/13
interested [1] 39/8	Kroger [3] 39/11 39/11 39/11	maybe [1] 19/21
internal [1] 48/17	Kroger's [1] 15/10	McCALL [5] 1/17 3/2 3/12 3/19 47/17
interpreting [1] 10/14	KU [5] 3/17 49/5 49/12 49/25 50/8	me [44] 4/13 5/10 5/13 7/7 8/1 10/17
	Kurtz [2] 22/9 38/13	10/25 11/4 11/18 13/11 13/21 14/5 22/4
15/6 15/12 22/21 29/12 38/6 39/8 42/3	L	22/6 23/8 25/6 26/10 26/19 32/19 32/21
interview [3] 14/8 37/19 41/1		33/1 33/9 34/18 34/21 35/2 36/12 36/19
into [1] 46/22 investigation [4] 1/4 3/16 37/21 46/22	lady [1] 22/10 Large [1] 51/4	37/10 37/20 38/9 38/13 38/22 40/14 41/16
investigation [4] 1/4 3/16 3//21 46/22 involved [15] 6/20 6/24 6/25 7/8 9/3	large [1] 20/5	42/9 44/25 46/4 47/8 47/25 48/7 48/16
15/17 16/5 18/16 18/17 18/21 19/10 31/14		50/11 51/7 51/7 me [2] 36/12 42/9
32/15 37/11 39/7	late [5] 9/1 9/2 9/24 31/22 32/2	mean [4] 21/4 21/21 34/16 39/7
involvement [2] 18/22 36/8	later [4] 20/12 28/14 40/11 40/17	meaning [1] 7/16
is [62]	latter [1] 11/10	mediator [4] 12/9 16/8 16/12 16/19
is dealing [1] 7/2	lawyer [2] 5/1 39/11	meeting [27] 19/25 20/4 20/12 20/13
Isaac [2] 12/19 15/24	lead [1] 34/7	20/17 20/22 21/1 21/14 21/15 22/5 22/17
issue [17] 18/2 20/25 21/8 21/20 22/25	leading [1] 15/23	23/2 23/9 23/14 24/19 26/19 28/15 30/25
23/18 23/20 23/22 23/22 29/9 30/1 35/3	learn [1] 31/18	34/9 34/13 34/15 34/18 35/19 35/20 39/19
38/25 39/5 39/5 45/15 48/8 issued [1] 47/13	learned [3] 14/22 28/25 31/23 learning [1] 30/7	41/14 41/16
issues [30] 13/18 14/21 15/3 16/13 17/24		meeting [1] 21/14 meetings [6] 13/22 17/22 20/10 38/5
18/1 18/18 19/1 19/10 20/13 20/21 20/23	led [1] 36/9	47/7 47/7
	left [3] 20/11 31/3 45/14	
ZU/Z# Z1/10 Z1/Z3 Z1/Z3 ZZ/Z ZZ/3 ZZ/ZU		

М	51/13	24/12 27/1 29/25 32/1 32/2 34/17 36/9
meetings [2] 47/7 47/7	my [1] 31/6	38/5 38/22 42/23 one [1] 24/12
member [4] 8/12 11/25 19/4 39/15	N	one-on-one [1] 38/25
members [6] 7/23 8/2 11/22 20/14 38/4	name [3] 3/11 4/3 22/11	ones [1] 16/4
50/9	names [2] 12/16 15/20	only [10] 8/6 12/11 18/4 34/2 37/19
memory [10] 8/25 11/9 14/10 19/19	NAS [2] 39/7 43/12	44/20 45/22 45/22 45/24 46/4
19/23 20/7 28/14 28/23 30/5 46/20 message [1] 32/24	National [2] 2/5 3/4 necessarily [1] 43/2	opinions [1] 37/13 opposed [1] 31/10
mid [1] 46/20	need [1] 49/24	or [67]
midday-ish [1] 28/16	needed [5] 21/24 22/1 24/1 27/20 31/9	or [2] 10/6 43/5
might [1] 36/13	negotiate [2] 29/18 35/11	order [1] 40/5
Mike [3] 19/5 22/9 38/13	negotiated [1] 34/25	organized [1] 6/15
million [10] 21/22 21/23 33/13 33/14 33/21 33/22 34/2 34/3 34/4 35/2	negotiating [5] 6/5 6/20 18/24 26/21 31/25	other [46] 3/14 10/13 10/19 11/15 11/20 12/5 12/8 12/13 12/21 12/22 13/3 13/5
mind [2] 37/8 38/16	negotiation [6] 6/7 12/22 13/4 15/17	14/12 14/23 15/25 17/22 20/4 20/6 21/18
mine [1] 5/18	18/15 36/5	22/1 22/2 22/13 22/21 22/25 23/1 23/22
minimal [1] 33/23	negotiations [10] 5/25 6/25 15/24 17/8	26/21 29/9 29/12 29/18 29/25 35/7 35/15
minimum [1] 33/17 minor [1] 22/25	18/17 28/21 28/22 36/8 37/12 38/23	37/14 37/17 37/22 38/5 38/6 38/20 39/8
minutes [1] 34/11	never [7] 8/11 10/13 11/14 13/11 15/21 35/15 39/13	39/15 42/2 42/2 43/16 43/25 48/5 other [1] 14/12
misconduct [3] 41/22 42/24 43/1	new [1] 49/10	others [5] 7/7 14/8 15/13 22/7 22/16
modest [1] 15/14	news [1] 29/13	otherwise [1] 35/3
moment [1] 22/3	next [6] 24/21 25/15 32/5 36/18 37/7	our [6] 7/17 7/20 19/2 30/15 33/17 38/24
Monday [4] 25/1 25/8 25/10 25/15 more [7] 9/11 12/13 17/23 18/17 18/22	40/15 no [19] 1/5 1/10 11/11 11/24 11/25 13/19	out [10] 4/10 10/5 10/6 15/25 26/24
25/5 40/5	15/20 19/15 22/1 23/3 24/12 24/12 24/18	27/21 29/7 29/7 32/16 48/7 outcome [1] 51/11
morning [2] 28/12 40/12	31/20 34/22 37/23 38/10 42/23 51/11	outset [1] 31/16
Morton [2] 13/16 13/17	None [1] 38/1	outside [5] 6/12 8/12 18/19 39/14 43/6
most [3] 18/20 21/18 26/8	nor [1] 22/11	outstanding [1] 22/2
move [4] 9/18 9/21 34/3 34/4 movement [1] 40/19	North [4] 15/10 18/18 19/1 19/10 not [70]	over [6] 27/1 27/7 34/25 35/2 38/24 40/22
MR [1] 3/10	not [2] 25/5 39/18	overali [2] 5/16 39/13
Mr. [45] 3/19 7/10 7/13 7/15 8/7 12/9	not stating something [1] 23/7	overhear [1] 38/21
12/19 12/25 13/8 18/9 18/11 18/11 18/17	Notary [3] 51/4 51/12 51/18	oversee [1] 6/17
18/21 18/25 18/25 19/21 24/4 25/11 25/12 25/22 26/11 28/1 29/13 30/7 31/4 31/6	notes [2] 51/7 51/9 nothing [3] 41/16 48/2 48/9	own [1] 31/14
25/22 20/11 26/1 25/13 30/7 31/4 31/6 31/18 32/19 32/22 33/7 34/14 34/17 35/18		P
35/24 36/24 37/5 38/3 38/22 40/25 41/20	November [3] 9/12 9/18 51/12	package [1] 49/11
41/23 42/5 42/25 47/17	November [1] 9/12	page [1] 32/5
Mr. Faud [1] 13/8 Mr. Goldberg [1] 25/12	now [3] 13/21 26/20 32/3 number [1] 23/17	pages [1] 51/8 painful [1] 33/25
Mr. Howard [21] 24/4 25/11 25/22 26/11		paraphrasing [1] 23/7
28/1 29/13 30/7 31/4 31/6 31/18 32/19	0	parent [2] 24/1 30/15
32/22 34/14 34/17 35/18 35/24 36/24 37/5		part [9] 3/22 11/10 14/19 14/23 16/1
38/22 41/23 42/25	O'Brien [1] 19/8 Oaks [1] 17/17	40/19 49/5 49/11 49/22
Mr. Huelsman [1] 8/7 Mr. Isaac [1] 12/19	object [1] 47/19	parte [3] 3/21 46/22 48/25 participants [1] 22/14
Mr. Jeff [1] 12/25	obtained [2] 4/4 45/12	participants [1] 22/14 participate [5] 5/24 6/2 6/4 6/19 23/2
Mr. McCall [2] 3/19 47/17	obviously [5] 9/17 17/18 25/21 34/16	participated [8] 3/25 14/3 15/24 15/25
Mr. Raff [3] 12/9 40/25 41/20	46/9	17/7 22/15 24/13 37/18
Mr. Riggs [7] 18/9 18/11 18/21 18/25 33/7 38/3 42/5	occasion [6] 10/3 12/23 13/5 35/8 48/22 49/20	participating [1] 36/22 participation [8] 4/5 5/11 14/6 15/14
Mr. Sales [2] 18/17 18/25	occasions [1] 7/8	35/1 39/15 43/16 43/17
Mr. Seelye [1] 19/21	occur [1] 43/6	particular [4] 20/12 20/13 27/7 38/25
Mr. Siemens [1] 7/10	occurred [14] 5/25 12/6 14/9 18/19 26/9	parties [27] 12/22 13/5 13/23 20/20
Mr. Siemens' [2] 7/13 7/15	36/14 37/3 37/20 39/18 42/14 42/15 43/1	22/23 23/5 23/16 25/19 26/21 26/25 27/6
Mr. Walter [1] 18/11 Ms. [7] 4/6 13/13 13/16 34/15 35/18	43/2 45/8 occurring [1] 43/14	29/17 30/20 31/24 34/25 35/10 39/15 41/12 41/20 42/2 42/20 44/18 45/6 45/11
35/24 41/25	odd [2] 34/4 47/14	45/20 46/5 51/10
Ms. Andrea [1] 13/13	of [5] 19/2 21/14 22/24 39/20 42/20	party [3] 16/20 16/21 44/20
Ms. Blackford [4] 34/15 35/18 35/24	off [5] 9/21 22/21 25/4 31/9 43/24	past [1] 13/19
41/25	offered [1] 45/9	path [1] 36/9
Ms. Coulter [1] 4/6 Ms. Martha [1] 13/16	office [6] 4/17 20/1 20/18 22/19 32/21 36/23	pending [3] 12/2 50/3 50/4 people [11] 3/25 12/16 12/17 15/25
much [3] 16/15 18/20 36/4	offices [1] 3/3	20/11 35/4 35/13 38/21 49/10 49/13 49/19
my [35] 3/19 4/12 5/20 7/5 11/9 13/11	Okay [62]	perfect [1] 19/20
14/10 18/19 19/19 19/23 19/25 20/7 27/16	old [1] 47/18	perform [1] 7/7
28/14 28/23 30/14 31/6 32/17 35/21 36/11 38/19 41/6 41/14 43/13 45/6 46/17 46/20	OMU [3] 21/20 23/20 39/5	perhaps [1] 23/11
	on [69]	period [7] 9/3 10/24 12/1 26/24 27/9
47/5 47/9 47/10 47/25 51/7 51/9 51/12	Once [1] 14/22 one [16] 6/22 7/3 12/13 17/4 19/24 20/11	37/25 48/3

15/18 24/23 24/24 25/16 34/23 45/16 regular [1] 7/6 45/25 46/9 46/16 47/1 48/14 49/14 49/17 regulated [1] 5/13 person [1] 24/14 51/4 51/12 51/18 regulation [3] 5/16 19/6 19/7 personal [1] 35/16 purpose [1] 45/4 regulatory [5] 5/12 7/18 19/4 49/18 personally [2] 7/23 37/18 put [5] 6/11 6/17 17/16 40/10 41/1 49/19 persons [1] 19/12 relate [1] 47/8 **phone [1]** 24/13 related [7] 12/11 21/23 39/1 39/3 39/5 question [10] 10/18 11/1 11/5 11/19 pick [1] 39/20 39/5 51/10 pick -- [1] 39/20 12/18 13/3 28/10 41/22 48/16 50/12 relates [2] 10/18 48/25 quiet [2] 35/20 35/21 piece [2] 46/6 46/7 relating [3] 29/10 38/7 43/14 place [4] 22/18 27/1 43/3 51/5 quite [2] 20/10 48/7 relation [1] 8/7 places [1] 8/24 **guiz [1**] 9/15 relationships [4] 7/17 7/18 7/18 7/19 planning [1] 5/18 relatively [2] 15/14 34/10 |**play[1]** 16/11 relayed [1] 32/25 playing [1] 16/7 radio [4] 41/7 41/9 41/19 48/5 remained [1] 21/24 plus [1] 21/22 radio -- [1] 41/7 remaining [1] 22/23 point [3] 29/6 37/9 38/22 Raff [6] 12/9 15/22 16/9 22/15 40/25 remember [17] 15/7 17/14 17/19 17/20 41/20 17/21 18/5 24/16 25/7 25/14 26/5 28/16 points [1] 37/3 political [1] 7/17 rare [1] 7/8 32/24 34/12 34/14 36/13 41/24 46/19 rate [47] 3/17 3/17 3/23 5/8 5/11 5/16 portion [2] 14/18 15/24 rendered [1] 48/13 5/20 6/1 6/12 6/14 6/16 6/21 6/24 8/15 position [1] 38/18 renegotiated [1] 37/9 positions [2] 16/18 18/5 9/2 9/5 9/19 10/4 10/14 11/16 11/22 12/2 repeated [1] 34/19 12/23 13/4 13/6 13/20 14/19 14/25 18/2 possible [1] 12/3 rephrase [1] 46/4 19/2 20/25 21/7 21/22 27/12 27/12 29/10 postponed [1] 40/5 report [1] 7/10 preceding [1] 36/6 reported [1] 30/14 33/19 35/8 38/8 38/19 41/4 41/11 41/13 44/3 44/7 46/23 48/2 **Reporting [1]** 1/21 precise [1] 8/18 rate-making [1] 19/3 precisely [3] 11/7 37/3 37/10 reports [1] 5/13 rates [6] 1/5 1/10 5/13 19/5 19/7 21/12 prehearing [1] 24/21 representative [4] 16/21 29/23 39/10 rather [2] 21/5 42/10 premises [1] 32/12 43/16 preparation [4] 5/19 6/18 9/4 9/22 **RE[1]** 1/4 representative -- [1] 29/23 reach [2] 29/9 35/11 **preparing** [1] 9/3 representatives [1] 15/10 presence [3] 7/20 12/13 18/19 reached [1] 23/22 represented [2] 18/9 45/10 reaction [2] 47/9 47/10 present [32] 6/4 6/10 17/11 17/12 18/21 representing [4] 16/14 16/16 16/23 17/1 reaction -- [1] 47/9 read [2] 27/4 48/22 19/7 19/9 19/11 19/13 19/15 19/16 19/21 requested [1] 26/19 19/22 20/5 20/15 22/7 22/22 23/16 25/19 require [1] 23/19 reading [1] 27/5 32/23 36/14 41/25 42/2 42/11 42/20 43/3 required [3] 26/24 27/4 45/11 real [2] 29/3 38/17 43/8 43/9 43/11 43/13 43/13 44/23 requirement [14] 21/5 21/6 21/11 22/2 present -- [1] 36/14 really [4] 8/6 23/3 39/21 44/13 25/25 26/22 33/12 33/21 43/25 44/11 presentation [2] 5/19 6/19 reasons [1] 35/14 44/13 44/19 45/22 46/6 recall [34] 8/14 11/7 14/5 16/11 19/22 presented [1] 3/23 requirement -- [1] 46/6 22/5 22/11 22/16 23/23 24/24 25/7 28/11 presenting [1] 45/4 requirements [8] 21/8 22/24 28/7 29/8 29/1 31/22 32/4 34/17 35/24 36/15 37/4 33/17 43/15 43/21 45/20 presently [1] 19/22 37/9 38/12 38/15 38/22 39/17 40/11 40/15 president [3] 4/24 7/15 19/6 resolution [1] 23/4 40/23 41/5 41/6 42/4 44/22 44/25 47/12 press [2] 32/16 48/8 resolve [1] 22/20 presume [1] 26/23 47/14 resolved [11] 18/1 20/19 20/22 20/24 received [2] 46/20 47/1 pretty [1] 30/1 20/25 21/21 23/21 23/24 35/9 45/18 46/5 previous [2] 23/13 32/3 receiving [1] 37/12 resolving [1] 17/24 recognition [4] 15/16 21/4 39/2 39/3 **primarily [1]** 19/9 respect [1] 31/13 recollection [20] 8/17 8/20 8/25 9/9 primary [1] 20/23 respected [1] 36/7 principal [1] 15/12 9/16 14/1 25/22 27/16 28/1 29/11 32/11 responded [1] 43/7 principally [1] 16/5 32/17 35/21 38/19 41/6 45/7 46/14 46/18 response [1] 29/12 prior [5] 9/17 20/17 30/9 47/1 51/6 47/5 48/1 responsibilities [1] 7/4 recommend [3] 24/3 24/5 30/21 probably [1] 18/6 responsibility [5] 5/15 5/17 5/18 5/20 problems [1] 29/4 recommend -- [1] 30/21 reconsider [2] 33/7 33/8 proceeding [2] 34/23 48/10 responsible [2] 6/18 7/16 process [6] 4/1 4/13 10/2 15/18 42/7 record [9] 11/15 11/21 41/1 42/5 47/16 result [2] 23/7 41/18 42/22 47/16 47/20 47/25 51/9 resumed [3] 25/4 25/9 25/16 process -- [1] 10/2 reduced [1] 51/7 resumption [1] 45/3 reduction [1] 38/24 professional [1] 36/7 retained [1] 3/15 professionals [1] 6/13 refer [1] 3/18 revenue [25] 21/4 21/5 21/6 21/8 21/11 reference [2] 17/16 26/10 progress [1] 17/23 22/2 22/24 25/25 26/22 28/7 29/8 33/12 referred [2] 23/10 30/3 prohibition [1] 50/8 33/21 39/1 39/3 39/6 43/15 43/21 43/25 referring [4] 10/9 21/7 28/6 44/3 proposal [1] 33/17 44/10 44/13 44/18 45/19 45/21 46/6 reflect [2] 27/2 45/12 proposed [2] 23/12 31/11 reversal [2] 36/10 37/4 prospects [1] 15/4 reflected [2] 27/6 33/21 reverse [1] 36/19 refreshes [1] 27/16 provided [1] 22/23 review [3] 14/8 24/8 45/6 refreshing [1] 18/7 regard [17] 7/1 7/13 10/24 11/1 11/5 provisions [1] 27/8 reviewed [1] 45/7 **PSC [3]** 16/7 32/18 37/16 Richard [3] 15/22 16/9 22/15 PSC's [1] 47/23 11/19 15/23 16/9 17/24 24/10 27/12 30/1 Riggs [9] 18/9 18/11 18/21 18/25 19/20 41/4 41/11 44/10 45/8 50/12 32/21 33/7 38/3 42/5 public [30] 1/1 2/2 3/15 3/23 7/1 7/3 7/13 7/21 7/23 8/8 11/19 11/22 12/17 14/2 regarding [9] 12/1 12/2 13/20 15/3 right [50] 3/13 5/1 5/4 5/7 5/23 7/9 7/22 16/18 23/18 38/8 46/21 50/3

Siemens [1] 7/10 straight [1] 47/20 R Siemens' [2] 7/13 7/15 Street [5] 1/22 2/5 2/10 3/4 4/15 right... [43] 8/19 8/24 9/6 9/13 10/8 sign [4] 4/11 22/20 31/9 43/24 structure [1] 6/15 10/12 11/4 11/18 12/15 13/13 13/21 14/13 sign-in [1] 4/3 Stumbo [1] 32/25 15/16 16/3 17/6 18/12 19/18 21/13 22/4 signatures [1] 45/11 subject [7] 5/16 13/12 30/17 30/18 30/19 22/13 25/14 25/19 26/20 27/10 28/11 significance [1] 26/5 45/16 49/8 28/24 29/15 29/24 31/2 31/17 37/24 39/17 significant [3] 17/14 26/9 35/3 submitted [2] 45/25 46/2 40/14 42/4 43/18 44/22 46/13 46/24 47/6 significantly [1] 33/18 subpoenas [4] 46/20 46/25 47/12 47/24 similar [1] 6/23 47/22 49/21 50/6 50/14 subsequent [2] 35/23 41/14 role [5] 7/13 8/12 16/7 16/12 29/2 simply [1] 32/3 subsequently [2] 32/18 34/19 room [4] 12/7 16/1 22/18 42/11 **Simpson [2]** 2/4 3/3 substance [1] 24/18 roughly [2] 40/22 46/20 Since [1] 49/2 substantial [1] 33/20 RPR [1] 1/21 sir [32] 3/11 3/13 5/4 5/23 7/22 8/24 9/6 substantially [1] 33/23 9/13 11/4 11/18 12/15 13/13 13/21 14/13 substantive [3] 11/24 12/1 50/2 19/18 21/13 22/4 22/13 26/20 27/10 28/11 **substantive -- [1]** 11/24 sacrificial [1] 33/25 39/17 39/25 42/4 43/18 44/22 46/13 46/24 such [2] 13/18 15/22 safety [1] 13/18 49/21 50/6 50/14 50/16 suddenly [1] 34/24 said [8] 25/3 34/20 37/7 41/16 48/1 48/8 six [1] 45/1 suggesting [1] 43/5 51/7 51/8 skills [1] 36/7 Suite [1] 1/22 Sales [3] 18/11 18/17 18/25 small [1] 22/18 summer [1] 9/25 Sales -- [1] 18/11 smaller [2] 19/16 20/24 sums [1] 21/23 same [20] 6/22 10/17 10/18 10/20 11/1 **SNYDER [1]** 2/8 supervision [1] 51/8 11/3 11/5 11/11 11/19 12/18 13/3 26/13 so [23] 4/4 4/5 5/15 10/12 14/20 16/19 supporting [1] 33/20 27/4 31/23 34/1 34/6 36/17 44/10 50/11 18/19 18/21 18/23 21/13 31/2 31/17 34/18 sure [3] 8/18 27/5 41/25 34/25 40/2 40/6 40/20 42/12 43/18 44/16 surprise [1] 48/7 **save [1]** 17/3 45/24 49/21 51/9 surprised [1] 31/18 say [13] 6/14 10/8 13/15 21/7 28/5 31/4 social [2] 8/5 8/11 surrounding [1] 3/17 34/10 35/18 38/10 40/10 42/21 47/25 some [27] 8/2 10/23 12/16 14/18 14/19 sworn [4] 1/15 3/2 51/5 51/6 49/16 14/21 15/20 16/11 16/19 16/20 17/20 saying [1] 38/13 17/24 18/6 18/15 21/19 23/19 26/23 26/24 scheduled [4] 24/23 39/23 40/3 40/7 29/6 31/5 34/4 37/1 37/4 37/11 38/17 39/9 take [14] 4/6 4/7 11/13 12/5 13/21 18/24 Scott [2] 12/19 15/24 24/12 28/25 29/17 31/17 39/18 40/14 40/21 second [1] 28/22 some -- [1] 37/4 45/24 46/24 **secretary [1]** 4/25 something [6] 7/7 23/7 26/6 37/8 43/6 taken [5] 3/3 40/20 51/5 51/7 51/9 see [3] 22/19 26/10 40/18 48/17 taking [2] 3/25 29/1 Seelye [2] 19/2 19/21 sometime [4] 9/17 25/15 39/18 39/19 talk [4] 10/3 12/23 13/6 32/20 seemed [1] 34/21 talked [2] 14/7 37/7 sometime -- [1] 39/18 sometimes [1] 3/18 send [2] 4/10 4/11 talking [2] 21/21 34/14 sense [4] 21/17 27/18 28/20 39/22 sorry [2] 13/15 25/12 teachings [3] 48/24 49/4 49/6 **SERVICE [26]** 1/1 2/2 3/15 3/24 7/2 7/3 **South [2]** 2/5 3/4 team [13] 6/11 6/13 6/17 6/20 15/17 7/14 7/21 7/24 8/8 11/20 11/22 12/17 14/2 speak [1] 42/12 15/21 16/1 18/24 20/5 20/15 29/2 31/15 15/18 24/23 24/25 25/16 45/17 45/25 46/9 **speaking [2]** 14/16 20/14 46/16 47/1 48/14 49/14 49/17 **SPECIAL [1]** 2/2 telephone [1] 22/16 session [1] 45/2 tell [12] 4/13 5/10 9/24 14/5 14/10 15/20 specialist [1] 19/3 sessions [5] 6/5 6/7 6/8 6/9 20/6 specific [5] 5/17 8/23 9/24 25/5 46/19 17/25 18/20 22/4 37/2 42/9 44/25 set [2] 14/17 51/6 specifically [9] 16/9 16/11 18/1 18/6 telling [1] 34/2 setting [1] 13/25 29/1 37/24 40/24 41/23 44/2 tendered [1] 24/10 settlement [38] 12/10 12/11 12/12 14/22 specifics [4] 14/9 14/11 32/24 37/5 **tentative [1]** 31/5 14/25 15/4 15/15 16/13 16/18 16/20 16/21 specifics -- [1] 37/5 term [4] 9/7 16/8 21/2 21/4 23/12 23/15 24/9 24/10 29/8 30/23 31/11 spirit [1] 34/7 terms [3] 1/6 1/10 36/20 31/15 33/5 33/19 34/3 34/5 34/8 34/25 spoke [2] 17/4 38/22 testimony [8] 10/10 40/10 40/13 40/16 35/4 35/9 35/12 38/11 38/17 39/12 39/13 45/9 47/19 51/6 51/7 spokesperson [1] 15/23 40/5 41/11 41/13 45/18 46/1 48/4 than [18] 9/12 11/15 11/20 12/21 13/3 Spurlin [2] 11/6 11/12 settling [1] 14/18 squirrly [1] 38/16 17/22 17/23 20/4 22/2 25/6 29/25 33/10 several [1] 15/9 SS [1] 51/1 33/16 35/16 37/14 37/22 43/25 48/5 Sharifi [1] 13/9 staff [18] 11/23 11/25 12/6 14/20 14/23 Thank [2] 4/20 50/16 **Shaw [2]** 13/1 15/25 15/23 16/7 16/15 16/17 16/18 17/9 23/13 Thanks [1] 50/15 she [2] 22/11 41/25 38/4 39/16 43/24 48/12 50/5 50/12 that [293] **she'll [1]** 4/10 Stainless [4] 15/11 18/18 19/1 19/10 that -- [1] 39/4 sheets [1] 4/4 that's [14] 4/4 4/13 10/11 18/6 20/3 **start [1]** 12/4 starting [1] 28/19 SHERYL [1] 2/8 24/22 25/24 26/1 27/22 43/22 44/21 46/12 shocked [1] 29/14 state [4] 3/11 35/13 51/1 51/4 47/20 50/14 short [2] 47/4 49/21 stated [2] 23/8 51/5 the -- [6] 14/19 23/20 27/13 30/3 40/14 Short -- [1] 47/4 statement [12] 1/15 3/2 4/6 4/8 11/14 41/19 31/9 41/3 42/5 47/17 49/22 50/18 51/5 shorter [1] 22/17 the -- [1] 38/7 shortly [2] 47/4 47/24 their [5] 23/16 33/3 35/25 36/7 36/7 statements [2] 3/25 37/2 should [4] 9/12 33/13 34/6 35/16 stating [1] 23/7 them [6] 15/7 18/5 33/4 35/23 37/13 **show [1]** 9/11 stenographic [2] 51/7 51/9 41/5 showed [2] 20/12 26/18 step [1] 42/21 themselves [3] 10/25 13/22 46/5 showing [1] 38/17 then [9] 11/13 20/25 26/17 31/18 32/17 Steve [1] 19/2 shown [1] 34/22 36/10 37/6 42/1 46/25 still [5] 21/24 27/11 29/9 32/18 32/20 side [3] 18/3 26/3 26/4

16/23 17/7 18/23 19/25 20/19 23/25 27/11 what [44] 3/24 4/1 4/5 4/23 5/10 7/12 27/23 27/25 28/7 30/6 30/24 31/3 36/21 7/13 8/4 8/24 14/5 15/3 15/4 15/5 16/6 there [54] 43/20 44/5 46/25 48/17 16/6 18/1 18/20 20/18 20/19 23/10 23/20 thereafter [1] 51/7 understandings [1] 49/6 28/11 28/16 28/24 29/3 29/4 29/11 30/3 therefore [2] 31/8 34/6 understood [5] 14/17 23/3 24/7 28/9 30/16 33/1 33/23 34/20 35/2 37/3 37/10 these [9] 6/1 12/16 13/20 16/7 21/23 36/11 42/13 44/2 44/25 45/8 45/15 46/14 47/16 22/23 22/24 26/24 30/5 unfair [1] 42/22 47/20 49/22 they [47] 4/1 6/22 6/23 12/11 12/12 15/8 unfamiliar [1] 21/3 What's [1] 38/14 16/1 17/7 17/8 17/10 17/11 17/13 18/14 unkindly [1] 23/11 whatever [2] 12/7 23/22 18/16 19/15 20/7 21/24 21/25 22/22 23/1 unkindly -- [1] 23/11 whatsoever [1] 41/17 23/2 23/3 23/3 23/6 24/15 25/18 29/14 unresolved [1] 45/16 when [15] 6/14 8/14 8/19 9/10 10/8 12/4 30/20 33/4 33/12 35/2 35/20 35/21 36/5 until [4] 8/9 25/3 28/15 34/24 12/4 13/23 17/4 21/7 28/5 28/25 36/15 36/9 36/11 37/3 38/21 41/21 42/6 42/22 unwillingness [1] 30/2 40/9 49/16 42/23 44/4 44/14 44/15 47/3 50/1 up [8] 4/10 20/12 26/18 33/13 34/24 35/3 Whenever [1] 40/16 thing [2] 45/24 46/4 38/13 42/12 where [9] 4/4 18/5 35/8 35/8 37/18 38/5 things [2] 27/1 30/6 upon [1] 42/6 38/12 38/21 40/25 think [11] 27/22 27/22 33/7 34/4 36/10 upset [1] 29/16 Whereupon [1] 33/9 38/12 38/19 42/6 45/15 47/22 47/23 **Urban [1]** 15/11 whether [20] 3/20 8/1 16/1 16/10 16/12 thinking [3] 25/2 25/3 26/15 urge [1] 33/8 16/17 23/10 25/8 25/8 30/7 30/8 32/2 32/17 38/20 39/17 40/11 40/16 41/20 thinking -- [1] 25/2 urged [1] 33/7 this [20] 10/24 14/8 19/12 19/20 26/10 us [11] 4/9 4/11 19/3 19/13 20/5 25/11 41/24 49/3 29/6 31/15 34/6 34/23 36/10 36/14 37/14 34/5 36/9 36/13 38/20 38/23 which [17] 5/21 7/17 7/20 8/6 13/24 us -- [1] 36/13 used [2] 16/9 16/10 38/2 39/5 39/18 39/19 42/15 45/10 49/22 18/1 30/17 32/6 34/7 37/2 37/5 37/20 39/6 51/13 41/9 43/6 43/12 43/15 those [18] 5/11 6/20 8/2 9/4 9/4 12/10 using [2] 10/1 21/2 which -- [1] 37/2 15/12 16/4 20/15 23/4 23/5 27/19 39/12 utilities [2] 1/11 5/14 while [1] 36/22 44/12 44/17 46/25 49/16 49/24 who [25] 3/25 7/7 12/17 13/10 15/1 15/6 though [3] 19/19 19/23 26/22 15/17 16/23 18/23 19/3 19/5 19/8 19/9 thought [4] 30/9 35/10 42/10 42/22 vague [1] 45/7 22/6 23/16 28/1 34/17 34/22 36/13 42/11 three [1] 40/22 variety [1] 5/15 45/21 49/13 49/16 49/19 49/24 through [4] 10/1 29/2 37/25 40/14 various [1] 5/25 whole [2] 7/2 46/10 Thursday [2] 3/5 17/17 venue [1] 17/21 why [4] 35/5 38/14 38/15 38/16 time [23] 9/25 10/1 10/18 10/24 11/9 versus [3] 14/11 14/11 48/18 will [6] 3/19 4/6 14/9 40/15 40/24 47/16 very [8] 6/23 13/19 32/2 32/2 36/4 37/10 12/1 13/12 19/6 20/16 25/10 26/24 27/4 Williams [1] 10/21 28/12 28/16 30/9 31/11 36/20 40/5 40/23 38/9 45/6 willing [4] 23/1 33/10 33/16 35/17 47/4 47/14 47/14 51/5 vice [3] 4/24 7/15 19/6 willingness [1] 23/17 view [3] 24/9 36/11 42/23 time -- [2] 19/6 40/23 wise [1] 39/22 timing [3] 25/13 47/11 47/23 viewed [1] 31/9 with -- [1] 42/6 titie [2] 7/15 16/10 viewpoint [1] 9/17 within [3] 6/15 36/17 50/7 to -- [1] 3/18 views [2] 16/14 16/16 without [1] 18/6 Todd [1] 2/9 virtually [1] 20/23 witness [3] 2/7 6/3 51/6 together [5] 6/11 6/17 13/23 23/6 35/11 witnesses [3] 6/24 40/20 40/21 told [6] 22/25 31/7 32/19 33/9 33/15 41/8 witnesses -- [1] 40/20 walked [1] 28/16 took [3] 22/17 27/1 43/3 won't [1] 15/7 torpedo [1] 34/24 Walter [1] 18/11 word [1] 38/15 want [2] 12/15 47/8 torpedoing [1] 35/14 wording [1] 27/7 wanted [1] 32/20 tough [1] 36/5 words [1] 23/1 Tower [2] 2/5 3/4 was [204] work [4] 7/7 7/10 8/4 12/17 was -- [2] 15/1 23/21 work -- [1] 7/10 training [1] 49/11 transcript [1] 51/8 wasn't [2] 32/8 43/8 worked [1] 27/21 transpired [1] 46/14 way [3] 7/9 27/10 28/9 working [1] 26/23 trial [1] 6/3 ways [1] 5/15 would [30] 3/11 4/9 5/20 5/21 11/13 we [49] 3/18 3/24 4/4 4/9 9/1 9/20 12/4 tried [1] 14/8 13/24 13/25 17/15 17/25 23/10 23/14 24/3 true [1] 51/8 12/5 13/11 14/17 14/20 14/22 15/2 17/23 24/5 24/6 24/20 24/25 30/20 32/6 33/16 18/2 20/21 20/22 22/20 22/20 23/13 23/14 trust [6] 4/20 5/7 17/11 18/14 26/20 34/7 34/10 34/24 35/2 36/9 36/10 38/9 23/16 24/2 25/4 25/9 29/5 29/6 29/14 48/21 40/2 45/1 49/17 50/7 trying [3] 29/3 29/6 30/6 29/19 30/15 30/19 31/4 32/1 33/13 33/16 write [1] 4/10 Tuesday [2] 25/9 25/15 33/19 33/23 33/24 34/7 35/16 36/10 37/8 wrong [2] 33/15 47/10 42/12 46/17 46/20 47/17 48/3 49/14 49/22 turn [1] 32/5 we'd [1] 4/5 two [14] 3/23 5/8 6/1 6/12 6/14 9/19 10/4 11/16 11/22 17/22 20/11 20/15 38/4 we're [3] 3/14 10/12 21/21 years [1] 5/6 Wednesday [2] 13/25 17/16 yes [15] 7/25 9/8 9/20 18/13 19/2 21/6 typewritten [2] 51/8 51/8 week [6] 25/15 26/6 28/21 31/19 32/3 21/19 25/20 27/14 27/16 27/22 32/10 typically [1] 7/7 32/10 39/25 40/4 weekend [2] 25/8 27/2 you [143] weeks [3] 9/3 47/5 48/3 you -- [2] 25/5 38/3 ultimately [2] 24/8 46/15 well [6] 5/17 16/8 26/8 31/8 32/9 39/18 you'll [1] 4/11 uncertain [1] 16/15 went [4] 14/20 27/8 32/1 32/21 you're [5] 4/20 21/2 25/9 27/14 47/22 under [9] 5/20 7/5 7/7 12/12 23/23 31/13 were [86] you've [4] 11/14 20/5 22/5 35/23 34/10 51/7 51/13 were -- [5] 15/8 21/24 23/3 36/11 47/18 young [1] 22/10 understand [3] 29/3 33/2 33/3 weren't [1] 39/8 your [34] 3/11 4/3 4/5 4/6 4/14 4/23 5/10 understanding [21] 7/12 15/5 16/6 West [2] 2/10 4/15

Υ	
your [27] 6/6 7/4 7/12 8/25 11/14 14/6 15/5 16/6 17/6 20/17 20/18 21/14 21/15 26/22 27/11 27/25 28/9 28/20 31/3 43/6 43/9 43/20 44/4 46/24 48/11 48/17 49/22	
yourself [3] 7/22 18/25 36/19	